RESEARCH

Open Access



Microbial metagenomic shifts in children with acute lymphoblastic leukaemia during induction therapy and predictive biomarkers for infection

Huidi Wang¹⁺, Yajie Zhang²⁺, Qianyi Zhou¹⁺, Lihua Yu², Jingxiang Fu¹, Danna Lin², Lulu Huang², Xiaorong Lai², Li Wu², Jingxin Zhang², Juan Zi², Xu Liao², Siying Huang², Yugu Xie³, Yan He^{1*} and Lihua Yang^{2*}

Abstract

Background Emerging evidence has indicated a link between the gut microbiota and acute lymphoblastic leukaemia (ALL). However, the acute changes in gut microbiota during chemotherapy and the predictive value of baseline gut microbiota in infectious complication remain largely unknown.

Methods Faecal samples (n = 126) from children with ALL (n = 49) undergoing induction chemotherapy were collected at three timepoints, i.e., initiation of chemotherapy (baseline, T0), 7 days (T1) and 33 days (T2) after initiation of chemotherapy. Gut microbiome profile was performed via metagenomic shotgun sequencing. The bioBakery3 pipeline (Kneaddata, Metaphlan 3 and HUMANN) was performed to assign taxonomy and functional annotations. Gut microbiome at T0 were used to predict infection during chemotherapy.

Results The microbial diversities and composition changed significantly during chemotherapy, with *Escherichia coli*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and *Bifidobacterium longum* being the most prominent species. The microbial metabolic pathways were also significantly altered during chemotherapy, including the pathway of pyruvate fermentation to acetate and lactate, and assimilatory sulfate reduction pathway. The receiver operating characteristic (ROC) models based on *Bifidobacterium longum* at T0 could predict infectious complications during the first month of chemotherapy with the area under the curve (AUC) of 0.720.

Conclusions Our study provides new insights into the acute changes in microbial and functional characteristics in children with ALL during chemotherapy. The baseline gut microbiota could be potential biomarkers for infections during chemotherapy.

 $^{\dagger}\mbox{Huidi}$ Wang, Yajie Zhang and Qianyi Zhou contributed equally to this work.

*Correspondence: Yan He yanhe@smu.edu.cn Lihua Yang dryanglihua@163.com

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2024. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/40./ The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

Page 2 of 10

Trial registration The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Zhujiang Hospital, Southern Medical University (2021-KY-171-01) and registered on http://www.chictr.org.cn (ChiCTR2200065406, Registration Date: November 4, 2022).

Keywords Acute lymphoblastic leukaemia, Gut microbiota, Infection, Children, Biomarker

Introduction

Acute lymphoblastic leukaemia (ALL) is a malignant neoplastic disease of the bone marrow characterised by excessive proliferation and accumulation of immature lymphocytes which can spread to extramedullary sites. Leukaemia accounts for the most common cancer in children, and ALL represents the main subtype of leukaemia, with the proportion ranging from approximately 60–80% in children under the age of 15 years [1]. Chemotherapy is the primary treatment for ALL, which includes three phases, i.e., the induction, consolidation, and maintenance phase [2]. Unfortunately, patients undergoing chemotherapy often experience immunosuppression and subsequent infectious complication. Bacterial infection is the major cause of morbidity and mortality in children with ALL and children are most susceptible during the induction phase [3]. Previously, extensive researches have focused on long-term prognostic factors to evaluate the risk of disease relapse [4]. However, studies investigating short-term complications such as infection during chemotherapy remain scarce and require further investigation.

Over the years, the research of gut microbiota has gained more and more appreciation due to its extensive connections with human health [5, 6]. Although the aetiology of ALL remains inconclusive, the genetic and environmental factors are widely acknowledged as the key factors that contribute to the development and progression of ALL [7]. More importantly, these two factors are strongly associated with the gut microbiota. A recent study proposes that immaturity of microbiome in early life may participate in the pathogenesis of ALL and warrants future microbiota-targeted intervention [8]. In terms of the gut microbiota during chemotherapy, there is an increasing need to understand the impact of gut microbiota on the efficacy and complication of chemotherapy. It is of certainty that chemotherapeutic drugs would have great impact on the composition of the gut microbiota. On one hand, chemotherapy can directly damage healthy intestinal cells, leading to gastrointestinal disturbance such as diarrhea, vomiting and abdominal pain, which indirectly affects microbial ecosystem [9]. The use of prophylactic or therapeutic broad-spectrum antibiotics further disrupts the balance of gut ecosystem. On the other hand, the dysbiotic gut microbiota may affect the metabolism and absorption of the chemotherapeutic chemicals, augmenting the toxicity and diminishing the efficacy of the drugs. The interactions between chemotherapeutic drug, gastrointestinal tract and gut microbiota lead to impaired gut mucosal barrier integrity, facilitating translocation of bacteria that are affected by chemotherapeutic drugs, and further increase the risk of infectious complication.

The gut microbiota can be easily accessible and uniquely modifiable, providing a potential to improve gut dysbiosis of ALL. Improved personalized use of probiotics, prebiotics and antibiotics based on microbial markers to reduce the risk of infection may be of great interest to paediatricians and, more importantly, beneficial to patients with ALL. Hence, there is an urgent need to understand the changes of the gut microbiota during chemotherapy and to identify microbial biomarkers that can predict infectious complication following initiation of chemotherapy. In the present study, using a cohort of children with ALL, we investigated the dynamic changes of gut microbiota and related microbial metabolic pathways during induction chemotherapy at three different timepoints, i.e., initiation of chemotherapy (baseline, T0), 7 days (T1) and 33 days (T2) after initiation of chemotherapy. We also analysed the potential microbial biomarkers at T0 to predict infectious complication during chemotherapy. Our study provides new insights into the dynamic changes in microbial and metabolic characteristics in children with ALL during chemotherapy and could help to discover microbiota-targeted intervention to prevent infectious complication during chemotherapy.

Materials and methods

Ethics statement

The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Zhujiang Hospital, Southern Medical University (2021-KY-171-01) and registered on http://www.chictr.org.cn (ChiCTR2200065406, Registration Date: November 4, 2022). Written informed consent was obtained from all parents in compliance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

Study design

The subjects were recruited from the Department of paediatrics of Zhujiang Hospital of Southern Medical University (Guangzhou, China) from January 2022 to May 2023. The inclusion criteria were as follows: (i) an age ranging from 1 to 15 years, (ii) diagnosis of ALL according to the morphological, immunological, cytogenetic and molecular (MICM) standards, (iii) first-episode children. The exclusion criteria were as follows: (i) patients who had previously received chemotherapy or other

Page 3 of 10

anticancer therapies such as immunotherapy, radiotherapy or hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (HSCT) at the time of admission, (ii) history of other types of cancer and (iii) history of glucocorticoid use within 1 month before enrolment, and (iv) ineligible for chemotherapy. All patients received the standard chemotherapy according to the South China Children's Leukaemia Group-ALL-2016 (SCCLG-ALL-2016) protocol [10]. According to the protocol, the treatment commences with evaluation of sensitivity after 7 days of pretreatment with prednisone, followed by initiation of VDLD (vincristine+dexamethasone+L-asparaginase+daunorubicin) induced remission therapy, and early intensive CAM (cyclophosphamide+cytarabine+6-mercaptopurine), mМ (methotrexate+6-mercaptopurine, or high risk (HR)-1 (dexamethasone + vincristine + methotrexate + cyclophosphamide+cytarabine+PEG-asparaginase), HR-2 (dexamethasone + vindesine + methotrexate + ifosfamide+daunorubicin+PEG-asparaginase), HR-3 (dexamethasone + cytarabine + daunorubicin + PEGasparaginase) all in two rounds), delayed intensive VDLD, CAM regimen (with 8 weeks of maintenance chemotherapy in between) in one or two rounds, and finally maintenance chemotherapy and regular intrathecal injections. During the VDLD induction therapy (T0-T2), patients were hospitalized and we only looked for infectious complications during induction. Infectious complication was defined as any clinically or microbially-defined infection and/or clinically-documented febrile neutropenia event. We did not exclude patients who had received antibiotics before diagnosis or enrolment because of a high proportion of such patients [11].

Risk stratification

ALL risk level was assessed according to the SCCLG-ALL-2016 protocol, taking into account the clinical characteristics, cellular immunology, biological characteristics, and treatment response of patients. After 7 days of pretreatment with prednisone, peripheral blast cells $< 1.0 \times 10^9$ /L was considered prednisone good response (PGR), peripheral blast cells> 1.0×10^9 /L was considered prednisone poor response (PPR). Low risk (LR): (i) patients with PGR, (ii) an age ≥ 1 and < 10 years, (iii) peripheral white blood cell (WBC) count $< 50 \times 10^{9}$ /L, (iv) bone marrow M1 (blast cells < 5%) on day 15 of induction chemotherapy, (v) bone marrow M1 on day 33 of induction chemotherapy. Patients who meet all the standards above were considered LR. Intermediate risk (IR): (i) an age <1 year or \geq 10 year, (ii) WBC count \geq 50×10⁹/L, (iii) diagnosed with T-ALL, (iv) stage 3 of central nervous system (CNS3, defined by cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) WBC>5/µl with blast cells in non-traumatic lumbar puncture (TLP), or CSF WBC>5/µl and the CSF leukaemia cells proportion higher than peripheral blood naïve cells proportion (CSF WBC/red blood cell (RBC) $\geq 2 \times$ peripheral WBC/RBC) in TLP, or any clinical or imaging (CT/MRI) evidence of CNS leukaemia regardless of CSF results) or diagnosed with testicular leukaemia, (v) Philadelphia chromosome (Ph)-positive ALL or Ph-like ALL defined by PCR test of the bone marrow, (vi) E2A-PBX1 fusion gene status, (vii) BCR-ABL1 fusion gene status, (viii) bone marrow M2 (blast cells 5% to <25%) on day 15 of induction chemotherapy and bone marrow M1 on day 33 of induction chemotherapy. Patients with PGR and meet one or more of the standards above were considered IR. High risk (HR): (i) patients with PPR, (ii) bone marrow M3 (blast cells≥25%) on day 15 of induction chemotherapy, (iii) bone marrow M2 or M3 on day 33 of induction chemotherapy, (iv) MLL-AF4 or other MLL rearrangement of bone marrow, (v) hypodiploid (chromosome number < 44), (vi) iAMP21 positive in bone marrow, (vii) IKZF1 deletion mutation, (viii) MEF2D or ZNF384 rearrangement, (ix) TCF3-HLF fusion gene status, (x) the mediastinal tumour did not shrink to one-third of the original tumour volume on day 33 of induction chemotherapy or the tumour was still present before consolidation therapy. Patients who meet one or more of the standards above were consider HR.

Sample collection

Faecal samples were collected from each patient at three predefined timepoints, i.e., prior to initiation of chemotherapy (baseline, T0), 7 days (T1) and 33 days (T2) after initiation of chemotherapy. All the faecal samples were collected during hospitalization. Fresh faecal samples were collected from each patient using sterilized vials and put into liquid nitrogen and then delivered to laboratory and stored at -80 $^{\circ}$ C in refrigerator. A total of 18 samples were missing because the patients did not have the desire to defaecate at the predefined dates of sample collection.

DNA extraction, sequencing, and bioinformatics

Genomic DNA was extracted by Guangdong Magigene Biotechnology Co.,Ltd. (Guangzhou, China) using commercial kits according to the manufacturer's instructions. DNA integrity and purity were monitored on 1% agarose gels. DNA concentration and purity were measured using Qubit 3.0 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, USA) and Nanodrop One (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, USA) at the same time. Sequencing libraries were generated using ALFA-SEQ DNA Library Prep Kit following manufacturer's recommendations and index codes were added. The library quality was assessed on the Qubit 4.0 Fluorometer (Life Technologies, Grand Island, NY) and Qsep400 High-Throughput Nucleic Acid Protein Analysis system (Houze Biological Technology Co, Hangzhou, China) system. At last, the library was sequenced on an Illumina NovaSeq 6000 platform and 150 bp paired-end reads were generated. Sequences were then processed using KneadData pipeline with Trimmomatic [12] and Bowtie 2 [13] to remove low-quality reads and contaminates. Sequences were trimmed using Trimmomatic with parameters ILLUMINACLIP: TruSeq3-PE.fa:2:30:10 SLIDINGWINDOW:5:20 MINLEN:36 LEADING:3 TRAILING:3, and host sequences were removed using Bowtie 2 with default parameters. For taxonomic annotations, MetaPhlAn3 [14] was used for taxonomic profiling and quantification of relative abundances of organisms in all samples. For functional annotations, HUMAnN3 [14] was used with uniref90 as the protein database and Chocophlan as the nucleotide database, and the unstratified output of path abundance with MetaCyc [15] annotations was utilized in the analysis.

Statistical analysis

Wilcoxon rank-sum test was utilized to calculate the α -diversity index between groups. Principal coordinate

Table 1 Clinical characteristics of the children with ALL (n = 49)

Characteristic	No. (%)
Age at diagnosis, y, median (range)	5.3 (1–14)
Age at diagnosis, y, No. (%)	
1–4	27 (55)
5–9	15 (31)
≥10	7 (14)
Sex, No. (%)	
Male	28 (57)
Female	21 (43)
ALL type, No. (%)	
T-ALL	7 (14)
B-ALL	42 (86)
ALL risk level at induction, No. (%)	
Low	3 (6)
Medium	22 (45)
High	24 (49)
Infectious complication, No. (%)	
Yes	29 (59)
No	20 (41)
Timing of onset of infectious complications, No. (%)	
T0 to T1	0 (0)
T1 to T2	29 (100)
Documented pathogen, No. (%)	15 (31)
Escherichia coli	1 (2)
Klebsiella pneumoniae	2 (4)
Pseudomonas aeruginosa	2 (4)
Salmonella	2 (4)
Pyogenic streptococcus	1 (2)
Staphylococcus aureus	1 (2)
Haemophilus influenzae and Streptococcus pneumoniae	1 (2)
Rotavirus	2 (4)
Human polyoma virus	1 (2)
Human herpesvirus 1	1 (2)
Non-Candida albicans	1 (2)

analysis (PCoA) was performed using Quantitative Insights Into Microbial Ecology (QIIME) modules. Linear discriminant analysis effect size (LEfSe) utilized the non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis rank-sum test to compare relative abundances of all bacterial taxa or functional features to compare the discriminative data between groups [16], and a linear discriminant analysis (LDA) value was calculated to represent the difference in the feature between groups. LDA score>2.0 were included in the plot.

Results

Characteristics of participants

The demographic characteristics of participants were summarized in Table 1. A total of 49 children with ALL were enrolled in this study, including 28 males and 21 females. The median age was 5.2, ranging from 1 to 14. Twenty-nine (59%) patients were complicated with infection during VDLD induction chemotherapy. All the patients with infectious complication were diagnosed between T1 to T2, with 10 patients suffering from bacterial infection, 4 patients suffering from viral infection and 1 patient suffering from fungal infection.

The microbial diversity changed drastically during induction chemotherapy

To investigate the overall shifts of the gut microbiota during induction chemotherapy, we first measured the α - and β -diversity. The α -diversity indices measure the total amount of different species (richness) and/or how balanced the relative abundance of species are (evenness) in a microbial ecosystem (within a single sample). As is shown in Fig. 1, the α -diversity continued to reduce during induction chemotherapy. Specifically, the Chao1 index (indicates richness) and Observed species (indicates richness) in T2 were significantly decreased compared to those in T0 and T1 (Fig. 1A, B). The Simpson index (indicates evenness) and Shannon index (indicates both richness and evenness) in T2 were significantly decreased compared to those in T0 (Fig. 1C, D). The β -diversity calculates the degree of similarity and distance based on phylogenetic trees and measures the difference between the composition of two microbial communities. As a result, the gut microbiota exhibited a gradual shift in composition during the induction chemotherapy (Fig. 1E). We calculated the microbial difference based on Bray-Curtis distance, a measurement that quantifies the level of dissimilarity between the composition of two microbial communities, we found a significantly changed microbiota composition between T0 and T2 (Fig. 1F).



Fig. 1 The α - and β -diversity of gut microbiota in children with ALL during the induction chemotherapy. The α -diversity indices measure the total amount of different species (richness) and/or how balanced the relative abundance of species are (evenness) in a microbial ecosystem (within a single sample). Comparison of the (**A**) Chao1 index (indicates richness), (**B**) Observed species (indicates richness), (**C**) Simpson index (indicates evenness), and (**D**) Shannon index (indicates both richness and evenness). The β -diversity calculates the degree of similarity and distance based on phylogenetic trees and measures the difference between the composition of two microbial communities. (**E**) The Bray-Curtis dissimilarity matrix and PERMANOVA were performed to measure the gradual shifts in the overall compositions of the gut microbiota. (**F**) The Bray-Curtis distance was calculated to investigate the extent of microbial changes. Each point represents a sample. Data are represented as mean ± SD, *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001

The microbial composition shifted remarkably during induction chemotherapy

To further explore the specific microbial changes during chemotherapy, we analysed the microbiota composition at different taxonomic levels. At the phylum level, the relative abundance of Actinobacteria decreased over time while the abundance of Proteobacteria increased during induction chemotherapy (Fig. 2A, B). At the species level, the relative abundances of Escherichia coli, Klebsiella pneumoniae and Bacteroides fragilis increased while the abundance of *Bifidobacterium longum* continued to decrease during induction chemotherapy (Fig. 2C, D). To identify the bacterial species that exhibit difference in relative abundance and biological significance, we used LEfSe analysis and found that *Actinobacteria* (p=0.0016), Bifidobacterium longum (p=0.0409), and Actinomyces (p=0.0364) were enriched with the highest LDA score in T0; Bifidobacterium pseudocatenulatum (p=0.0399)and *Blautia* (p=0.0324) were enriched with the highest LDA score in T1; and *Gammaproteobacteria* (p=0.0164) was enriched with the highest LDA score in T2 (Fig. 2E, F). The relative abundances of microbiota in other taxonomic levels are shown in Supplementary Fig. 1. In the three participants who were infected with documented pathogens detected from the blood (Table 1), including Escherichia coli and Klebsiella pneumoniae, the relative abundances of corresponding gut microbiota were extremely high (about 20% and 40%, data not shown) in these participants, suggesting that the pathogens were originated from the gut.

The changes in microbial functional pathways during induction chemotherapy

To further investigate the biological significance of the gut microbiota during induction chemotherapy, we used HUMAnN 3.0 to analyse the abundance of functional pathways from metagenomic data. The most abundant microbial pathways include (i) the L-valine biosynthesis, (ii) sucrose biosynthesis II, and (iii) super pathway of 5-aminoimidazole ribonucleotide biosynthesis (Fig. 3A, B). The most abundant pathways from superclass 1 and superclass 2 are biosynthesis and amino acid biosynthesis, respectively (Supplementary Fig. S2A-D). We also performed LEfSe analysis and found that the sucrose biosynthesis II and 5-aminoimidazole ribonucleotide biosynthesis II were downregulated at T2, while the pentose phosphate pathway, C4 photosynthetic carbon assimilation cycle, and sulfate induction I (assimilatory) were upregulated (Fig. 3C, D). At superclass 1, the biosynthesis pathway was downregulated while the generation of precursor metabolites and energy was upregulated at T2 (Supplementary Fig. S2E, F). At superclass 2, the amino acid biosynthesis was downregulated while the fatty acid



Fig. 2 The gut microbial profile shifted remarkably during induction chemotherapy. (A-B) Relative abundances of gut microbiota at the phylum level in children with ALL during induction chemotherapy, clustered in groups (A) or individually (B). (C-D) Relative abundances of gut microbiota at the species level in children with ALL during induction chemotherapy, clustered in groups (C) or individually (D). (E) The microbiota that are significantly different in relative abundances of microbiota that are significantly different between different timepoints. Data are represented as mean ± SD

and lipid biosynthesis was upregulated at T2 (Supplementary Fig. S2G, H).

Relative abundance of *Bifidobacterium longum* at baseline can predict infection during induction chemotherapy

To investigate whether the relative abundances of species at baseline could predict infectious complications during induction chemotherapy, multiple univariate analyses were performed on the top 20 species with the highest abundance, and the ROC curve was used to evaluate the performance of prediction model (Supplementary Table 1). We found that *Bifidobacterium Longum* achieved the highest AUC value, with an area of 0.720 (95% CI: 0.5632–0.8768, p=0.0187) (Fig. 4).

Discussion

The short-term dynamic shifts in gut microbiota and its functional features in children with ALL during induction chemotherapy and the microbial predictive markers for infection are largely unknown, especially in paediatric



Fig. 3 The shifts in microbial functional pathways during induction chemotherapy. (A-B) Relative abundances of microbial functional pathways at the three timepoints during induction chemotherapy, clustered in groups (A) or individually (B). (C) The microbial functional pathways that are significantly different between the three timepoints based on LEfSe analysis. (D) The relative abundances of microbial functional pathways that are significantly different between the three timepoints. Data are represented as mean ± SD

populations. In the current study, we applied specieslevel metagenomic sequencing and revealed a remarkably changing gut microbiota profile in children with ALL undergoing induction chemotherapy. We also found that the abundance of *Bifidobacterium longum* prior to commencement of chemotherapy could predict the occurrence of infection during chemotherapy.

Chemotherapeutic treatments can greatly influence the composition of gut microbiota, but not in an advantageous manner [17]. Indeed, the potent anticancer drugs can induce severe atrophy of intestinal villi and collapse of muscularis mucosa, promoting a series of inflammatory responses in the gut [18]. Moreover, the therapeutic drugs can change the gut microbiota by affecting the release of bile acids and secondary metabolic process [19]. In our study, the most evident changes in gut microbiota during chemotherapy were sharp decreases in microbial richness and evenness (to a lesser extent), and microbial dysbiosis, manifested in decreased abundances in beneficial microbes such as Bifidobacterium longum and Akkermansia muciniphila, and increased abundances of pathogens such as Escherichia coli and Klebsiella pneumoniae. A previous study compared the gut microbiome between paediatric patients with ALL at the time of diagnosis and healthy individuals, it was found that the DNA content of stool of ALL patients was 29.6% lower than that of healthy controls [20]. Another study also found that bacterial α -diversity was lower in children with newly-diagnosed ALL than their siblings [9]. In a study conducted by Thomas et al., the α - and β -diversity were not statistically different between ALL survivors (who had a history of ALL, at least one year after completion of therapy for ALL) and their siblings [21]. However, another study conducted by Bhuta et al. compared the gut microbiota of nine paediatric ALL survivors (the median time from end of therapy to stool collection was 24 months) and ten healthy sibling controls, they found that the Pielou's evenness of gut microbiota in survivors



Fig. 4 Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) model. The ROC based on *Bifidobacterium longum* at T0 predict infectious complications during chemotherapy with the area under the curve (AUC) of 0.720 (95% CI: 0.5632–0.8768, p = 0.0187)

of ALL was significantly lower than that of their healthy siblings, and the composition of gut microbiota differed between the two groups, suggesting a durable impact of ALL therapy lasting for years after completion of treatment [22]. Another study also supports the finding that the α -diversity of gut microbiota is decreased in survivors of childhood ALL [23]. In the present study, we showed a continuously decrease in microbial richness and evenness of gut microbiota during chemotherapy. In most instances, a higher microbial diversity has been linked with a relatively "healthier" microbial ecosystem, because it indicates higher probability of presence of functionally interconnected species that can either collaborate by sharing functions and metabolites required for operating specific functionality, or compensate for the absence of missing species when there is a disturbance [24].

The search for gut microbiota changes in ALL patients prior, during and after chemotherapy has yielded contrasting results. Some studies found a relatively stable gut microbiota structure during or after chemotherapy [25–27], while other studies suggested otherwise [11, 20, 28–30]. As it usually takes a long period of time for a ALL patient to complete the course of chemotherapy, the gut microbiota are influenced by various factors during the process, which would give less weight to the disease factors. The survival rates of ALL have exceeded 90% [31], but infectious complication remains an urgent issue that affects the quality of life and long-term prognosis. Therefore, we believe that a short-term observation of changes in gut microbiota during chemotherapy is of important clinical significance. We found a sharp shift in gut microbiota during the first month of chemotherapy, a change greater than that seen in long-term chemotherapy. However, it is important to note that the changes in gut microbiota during chemotherapy are not only influenced by chemotherapeutic drugs, other factors, such as dietary, age, antibiotic use, surrounding environment, and indirect effects exerted by chemotherapeutic drugs such as immunosuppression are also noteworthy. In the present study, the faecal samples were collected during the time when the patients were hospitalized and provided with standardized food recommendation. Therefore, it minimized the influence from diet and environment. Notably, none infectious complications were observed within the first week of chemotherapy, suggesting relatively competent immune function of patients at the beginning of induction chemotherapy. In a study conducted by Montassier et al., researchers found that the abundance of Proteobacteria was significantly increased after chemotherapy without using antibiotics [19]. Consistent with previous studies, we observed a continuously increasing abundance of Proteobacteria during chemotherapy. Proteobacteria is known as a microbial signature of gut microbiota dysbiosis [32]. A possible mechanism underlying the expansion of Proteobacteria is that when excessive inflammation occurs in the intestine, the facultative anaerobic Proteobacteria can take advantage of the situation through aerobic respiration and proliferate [33]. The baseline Proteobacteria has also been used to predict febrile neutropenia during the induction and reinduction I phases of chemotherapy [28]. In a study conducted by Chua et al., the abundance of Bacteroidetes decreased significantly upon the commencement of chemotherapy [11]. However, we did not observe such trend of Bacteroidetes in our study. This inconsistency may be attributed to sample types (anal swab sample versus faecal sample), sequencing methods (16 S ribosomal RNA versus metagenomic shotgun sequencing) and regional differences.

In the current study, we identified *Bifidobacterium longum* as the key species that could predict infectious complications during chemotherapy, which might provide a potential strategy for microbiota-targeted intervention. *Bifidobacterium* species are commensal gut microbiota that has been widely recognized to exert plentiful beneficial effects on the host. Emerging evidence has shed light on their mechanism of action, including producing bioactive substances, such as polysaccharides, short-chain fatty acids, and serine protease inhibitors, and modulating immune responses from its intestinal niche [34]. In addition to its broad benefits, *Bifidobacterium* spp. has also been found to have anticancer effect by promoting mitochondrial-mediated apoptosis and inhibiting growth factor signalling of

cancer cell. Furthermore, they can reduce adverse effects of chemotherapy by inhibiting proinflammatory cytokines and reducing chemotherapy-induced mucositis and diarrhea [35]. Owing to their beneficial effects, the Bifidobacterium spp. have been widely used as probiotics. A randomized controlled trial conducted by Wada et al. discovered that administration of Bifidobacterium breve could effectively prevent infection in patients undergoing chemotherapy [36]. They also found that *Bifidobacterium* breve supplementation promoted growth of anaerobes and decreased population levels of Enterobacteriaceae [36]. Based on the findings of our study, future studies aiming to investigate the value of Bifidobacterium longum supplementation on prevention of infection in children with ALL during chemotherapy, as well as its mechanism of action, are warranted. However, it should be noted that although statistically significant, Bifidobacterium longum is insufficient for discrimination and prediction in the clinical setting.

Based on functional annotations, the pathway of pyruvate fermentation to acetate and lactate was down-regulated at T2. It has been revealed that gut microbiota-derived acetate not only facilitates the production of immunoglobin (Ig)A, but directs specific IgA binding to certain bacteria such as Enterobacterales [37]. Moreover, the assimilatory sulfate reduction pathway was elevated at T2. Sulfate-reducing bacteria metabolizes sulfate to hydrogen sulfide (H₂S), which exerts toxic effects on the intestine and is associated with inflammatory bowel disease. For example, butyrate is the major energy source for colonocytes, and H₂S disrupts butyrate oxidation in colonocytes [38]. Assimilatory sulfate reduction pathway uses sulfate to produce amino acid cysteine [39], suggesting mechanism of compensation.

We acknowledge several limitations in the current study. First, antibiotics treatments prior to or during chemotherapy may influence interpretation of results. Empiric treatment of fever with broad spectrum antibiotics before specific pathogens were identified could skew the changes in gut microbiota composition during chemotherapy. In the current study, 59% of patients were diagnosed with infection and were treated with antibiotics. Thus, there is an unmeasured effect of antibiotic use on the microbial composition over time. Second, our previous study indicated regional variation in the gut microbiota [40], but we did not stratify patients into different regions due to a small sample size. Third, a more quantitative measure of specific gut microbiota abundance should be performed to more definitively conclude that the absolute abundance of Bifidobacterium longum was quantitatively different. Furthermore, the AUC values of species were not satisfying, a larger sample size is warranted to further explore the microbial biomarkers for complications during chemotherapy.

Conclusions

Overall, our study reveals the dynamic changes in gut microbiota and its functional pathways in children with ALL during induction chemotherapy. Moreover, we found that the ROC models based on *Bifidobacterium longum* at T0 calculated an AUC of 0.720. For the first time, we describe the short-term dynamics of gut microbiota and its functional pathways in children with ALL during induction chemotherapy, a phase when paediatric ALL patients are most susceptible to infection and clinically relevant.

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1186/s12941-024-00717-z.

Supplementary Material 1

Acknowledgements

We are extremely grateful to all the children and their parents in this study and we would like to thank the personnel of the Department of Paediatric Hematology of Zhujiang Hospital of Southern Medical University.

Author contributions

L.Y. and Y.H. conceived and initiated the study. L.Y., D.L., L.H., X.L., L.W., J.Z., J.Z., X.L., S.H., and Y.X. were responsible for responsible for patient recruitment and sample collection. H.W., Y.Z., Q.Z., and J.F. were responsible for the experiment, data collection and statistical analysis. H.W. wrote the manuscript. L.Y. and Y.H. revised the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Funding

This study was supported by National Key R&D Program of China, China (2019YFA0802300, SQ2022YFA090032) and National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC 82022044, 82272391, and 81870936).

Data availability

No datasets were generated or analysed during the current study.

Declarations

Ethic approval and consent to participate

The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Zhujiang Hospital, Southern Medical University (2021-KY-171-01) and registered on http://www. chictr.org.cn (ChiCTR2200065406, Registration Date: November 4, 2022). Written informed consent was obtained from all parents in compliance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

Consent to publish

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Author details

 ¹Microbiome Medicine Center, Department of Laboratory Medicine, Zhujiang Hospital, Southern Medical University, Guangzhou, Guangdong 510280, China
²Department of Paediatric Hematology, Zhujiang Hospital, Southern Medical University, Guangzhou, Guangdong 510280, China
³Department of Laboratory Medicine, Clinical Biobank Centre, Microbiome Medicine Center, Zhujiang Hospital, Southern Medical

University, Guangzhou 510280, China

Received: 26 March 2024 / Accepted: 10 June 2024 Published online: 15 June 2024

References

- Miranda-Filho A, Piñeros M, Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Monnereau A, Bray F. Epidemiological patterns of leukaemia in 184 countries: a population-based study. Lancet Haematol. 2018;5(1):e14–24.
- Mueller KT, Waldron E, Grupp SA, Levine JE, Laetsch TW, Pulsipher MA, et al. Clinical pharmacology of Tisagenlecleucel in B-cell Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia. Clin cancer Research: Official J Am Association Cancer Res. 2018;24(24):6175–84.
- O'Connor D, Bate J, Wade R, Clack R, Dhir S, Hough R, et al. Infection-related mortality in children with acute lymphoblastic leukemia: an analysis of infectious deaths on UKALL2003. Blood. 2014;124(7):1056–61.
- Vrooman LM, Silverman LB. Treatment of Childhood Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia: prognostic factors and clinical advances. Curr Hematol Malig Rep. 2016;11(5):385–94.
- Lynch SV, Pedersen O. The human intestinal microbiome in Health and Disease. N Engl J Med. 2016;375(24):2369–79.
- Adak A, Khan MR. An insight into gut microbiota and its functionalities. Cell Mol Life Sci. 2019;76(3):473–93.
- Malard F, Mohty M. Acute lymphoblastic leukaemia. Lancet (London England). 2020;395(10230):1146–62.
- Peppas I, Ford AM, Furness CL, Greaves MF. Gut microbiome immaturity and childhood acute lymphoblastic leukaemia. Nat Rev Cancer. 2023;23(8):565–76.
- De Pietri S, Ingham AC, Frandsen TL, Rathe M, Krych L, Castro-Mejía JL, et al. Gastrointestinal toxicity during induction treatment for childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia: the impact of the gut microbiota. Int J Cancer. 2020;147(7):1953–62.
- Qiu K, Liao X, Li Y, Huang K, Xu H, Fang J et al. Real-world presentation and prognostic effect of allogeneic blood transfusion during the intensive induction phase in Pediatric Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia. Cancers. 2023;15(18).
- Chua LL, Rajasuriar R, Lim YAL, Woo YL, Loke P, Ariffin H. Temporal changes in gut microbiota profile in children with acute lymphoblastic leukemia prior to commencement-, during-, and post-cessation of chemotherapy. BMC Cancer. 2020;20(1):151.
- 12. Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data. Bioinf (Oxford England). 2014;30(15):2114–20.
- 13. Langmead B, Salzberg SL. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. Nat Methods. 2012;9(4):357–9.
- Beghini F, McIver LJ, Blanco-Míguez A, Dubois L, Asnicar F, Maharjan S et al. Integrating taxonomic, functional, and strain-level profiling of diverse microbial communities with bioBakery 3. eLife. 2021;10.
- Caspi R, Billington R, Fulcher CA, Keseler IM, Kothari A, Krummenacker M, et al. The MetaCyc database of metabolic pathways and enzymes. Nucleic Acids Res. 2018;46(D1):D633–9.
- Segata N, Izard J, Waldron L, Gevers D, Miropolsky L, Garrett WS, et al. Metagenomic biomarker discovery and explanation. Genome Biol. 2011;12(6):R60.
- Sági V, Makra N, Csoszánszki N, Decmann A, Szabó D, Garami M. The influence of the gut Microbiome in Paediatric Cancer Origin and Treatment. Antibiot (Basel Switzerland). 2022;11(11).
- 18. Zhou Y, Zhou C, Zhang A. Gut microbiota in acute leukemia: current evidence and future directions. Front Microbiol. 2022;13:1045497.
- Montassier E, Gastinne T, Vangay P, Al-Ghalith GA, Bruley des Varannes S, Massart S, et al. Chemotherapy-driven dysbiosis in the intestinal microbiome. Aliment Pharmacol Ther. 2015;42(5):515–28.
- Huang Y, Yang W, Liu H, Duan J, Zhang Y, Liu M, et al. Effect of high-dose methotrexate chemotherapy on intestinal bifidobacteria, Lactobacillus and Escherichia coli in children with acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Experimental Biology Med (Maywood NJ). 2012;237(3):305–11.
- Thomas R, Wong WSW, Saadon R, Vilboux T, Deeken J, Niederhuber J, et al. Gut microbial composition difference between pediatric ALL survivors and siblings. Pediatr Hematol Oncol. 2020;37(6):475–88.

- 22. Bhuta R, DeNardo B, Wang J, Atoyan J, Zhang Y, Nelson D, et al. Durable changes in the gut microbiome in survivors of childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Pediatr Blood Cancer. 2021;68(12):e29308.
- Chua LL, Rajasuriar R, Azanan MS, Abdullah NK, Tang MS, Lee SC, et al. Reduced microbial diversity in adult survivors of childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia and microbial associations with increased immune activation. Microbiome. 2017;5(1):35.
- 24. Tandon D, Haque MM, Gote M, Jain M, Bhaduri A, Dubey AK, et al. A prospective randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, dose-response relationship study to investigate efficacy of fructo-oligosaccharides (FOS) on human gut microflora. Sci Rep. 2019;9(1):5473.
- Gao X, Miao R, Zhu Y, Lin C, Yang X, Jia R, et al. A new insight into acute lymphoblastic leukemia in children: influences of changed intestinal microfloras. BMC Pediatr. 2020;20(1):290.
- Nyhlén A, Ljungberg B, Nilsson-Ehle I, Nord CE. Impact of combinations of antineoplastic drugs on intestinal microflora in 9 patients with leukaemia. Scand J Infect Dis. 2002;34(1):17–21.
- Rajagopala SV, Yooseph S, Harkins DM, Moncera KJ, Zabokrtsky KB, Torralba MG, et al. Gastrointestinal microbial populations can distinguish pediatric and adolescent Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia (ALL) at the time of disease diagnosis. BMC Genomics. 2016;17(1):635.
- Hakim H, Dallas R, Wolf J, Tang L, Schultz-Cherry S, Darling V, et al. Gut Microbiome Composition predicts infection risk during Chemotherapy in Children with Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia. Clin Infect Diseases: Official Publication Infect Dis Soc Am. 2018;67(4):541–8.
- Shen Z, Gu X, Cao H, Mao W, Yang L, He M, et al. Characterization of microbiota in acute leukemia patients following successful remission induction chemotherapy without antimicrobial prophylaxis. Int Microbiology: Official J Span Soc Microbiol. 2021;24(2):263–73.
- Nearing JT, Connors J, Whitehouse S, Van Limbergen J, Macdonald T, Kulkarni K, et al. Infectious complications are Associated with alterations in the gut Microbiome in Pediatric patients with Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia. Front Cell Infect Microbiol. 2019;9:28.
- Inaba H, Mullighan CG. Pediatric acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Haematologica. 2020;105(11):2524–39.
- Shin NR, Whon TW, Bae JW. Proteobacteria: microbial signature of dysbiosis in gut microbiota. Trends Biotechnol. 2015;33(9):496–503.
- Litvak Y, Byndloss MX, Tsolis RM, Bäumler AJ. Dysbiotic Proteobacteria expansion: a microbial signature of epithelial dysfunction. Curr Opin Microbiol. 2017;39:1–6.
- Mills S, Yang B, Smith GJ, Stanton C, Ross RP. Efficacy of Bifidobacterium longum alone or in multi-strain probiotic formulations during early life and beyond. Gut Microbes. 2023;15(1):2186098.
- Badgeley A, Anwar H, Modi K, Murphy P, Lakshmikuttyamma A. Effect of probiotics and gut microbiota on anti-cancer drugs: mechanistic perspectives. Biochim et Biophys acta Reviews cancer. 2021;1875(1):188494.
- 36. Wada M, Nagata S, Saito M, Shimizu T, Yamashiro Y, Matsuki T, et al. Effects of the enteral administration of Bifidobacterium breve on patients undergoing chemotherapy for pediatric malignancies. Supportive care cancer: Official J Multinational Association Supportive Care Cancer. 2010;18(6):751–9.
- Takeuchi T, Miyauchi E, Kanaya T, Kato T, Nakanishi Y, Watanabe T, et al. Acetate differentially regulates IgA reactivity to commensal bacteria. Nature. 2021;595(7868):560–4.
- Kushkevych I, Cejnar J, Treml J, Dordević D, Kollar P, Vítězová M. Recent advances in metabolic pathways of Sulfate reduction in intestinal Bacteria. Cells. 2020;9(3).
- Schiff JA. Pathways of assimilatory sulphate reduction in plants and microorganisms. Ciba Foundation symposium. 1979(72):49–69.
- He Y, Wu W, Zheng HM, Li P, McDonald D, Sheng HF, et al. Regional variation limits applications of healthy gut microbiome reference ranges and disease models. Nat Med. 2018;24(10):1532–5.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.