Annals of Clinical Microbiology and Antimicrobials

RESEARCH

Open Access



Ayda Afshari Kharaghani^{1,2}, Naser Harzandi², Babak Khorsand³, Mohsen Rajabnia⁴, Azin Afshari Kharaghani^{1,2} and Hamidreza Houri^{1,5*}

Abstract

Background Several pieces of evidence suggest that certain pathobionts belonging to Enterobacterales are associated with the development and progression of inflammatory bowel diseases (IBD). Extended-spectrum β -lactamases (ESBLs) ESBLs are frequently found in the Enterobacterales members, particularly in *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella* spp., and might trigger antibiotic-induced perturbations of the intestinal microbiota and led to more severe disease activity in IBD. Therefore, the severity of IBD could be influenced by ESBL-producing Enterobacterales, and hence, this study aimed to investigate the presence of ESBLs and carbapenemases among mucosa-associated *E. coli* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* isolated from colonic biopsies of Iranian patients with IBD.

Methods In this cross-sectional study, *E. coli* and *K. pneumoniae* were isolated from inflamed ileum and/or colon tissue of patients with IBD, including Ulcerative colitis (UC) and Crohn's disease (CD), during colonoscopy. Demographic data and clinical characteristics were recorded, and UC and CD disease activity and extent were evaluated according to the full Mayo score and Crohn's disease activity index (CDAI), respectively. Phenotypic and molecular detection of ESBL- and carbapenemase-producing *E. coli* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* were carried out. Disease activity and other clinical and microbial features were compared in patients with and without gut colonization with ESBL producers.

Results A total of 83 IBD patients, including 67 UC and 16 CD, were enrolled in the initial analysis. Intestinal colonization with ESBL-producing *E. coli* and/or *Klebsiella pneumoniae* was found in 37 (55.2%) of UC and 9 (56.2%) of DC patients – mostly harbored *E. coli* containing the *bla*_{CTX-M} and *bla*_{TEM} genes. UC patients with intestinal colonization with ESBL-producers had more severe disease compared with patients without colonization. Moreover, 10.2% of tested *E. coli* and 34.8% of *K. pneumoniea* were recognized as potential carbapenemase producers.

*Correspondence: Hamidreza Houri hr.houri@sbmu.ac.ir

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2023. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Dublic Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

Page 2 of 11

Conclusion Intestinal colonization with ESBL producers could arise disease activity in IBD patients. Further large-scale case-control studies should be performed to investigate the possible confounding factors that could contribute to this outcome.

Keywords Inflammatory bowel disease, Ulcerative colitis, Crohn's disease, Extended-spectrum β-lactamase, Enterobacterales, *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae*

Background

Ulcerative colitis (UC) and Crohn's disease (CD) are two types of inflammatory bowel diseases (IBD) that are chronic, progressive, and relapsing immune-mediated gut disorders of unknown etiology [1]. UC characteristically affects the rectum and generally extends proximally along the entire colon and can be classified as proctosigmoiditis, left-sided colitis, or pancolitis [2]. Bloody diarrhoea and tenesmus are the most common symptoms of UC, although it can be accompanied by extraintestinal complications due to intestinal inflammatory activity [3]. By contrast, CD is characterized by transmural inflammation and patchy lesions that are potentially scattered anywhere in the digestive tract leading to fibrosis, stricture, and fistula [4]. CD-related clinical manifestations are heterogeneous, but mainly include chronic abdominal pain, clinical signs of bowel obstruction and/or diarrhea with the passage of blood or mucus, and weight loss **[5**].

Etiological factors including genetic variances, immune response dysregulation, intestinal microbial alternations, and environmental changes have been described to play critical roles in the pathogenesis of IBD [6]. It has been long believed that the altered host-microbe homeostasis, defined as microbial "dysbiosis", contributes to the pathogenesis and progression of IBD. Several pieces of evidence suggest that more severe CD and UC activity is associated with the Enterobacterales "blooming" following the disturbed microbiota in the gut [7]. Moreover, antibiotic-induced perturbations of the intestinal microbial community have long been described as a potential trigger for IBD [8].

Extended-spectrum β -lactamases (ESBLs) are bacterial enzymes that confer resistance to broad-spectrum β -lactam antibiotics including third-generation cephalosporins, and their presence is also frequently associated with resistance to other antimicrobial agents such as fluoroquinolones and aminoglycosides [9, 10]. ESBLs are frequently found in the Enterobacterales members, particularly in *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella* spp. which are the most common multidrug-resistant microorganisms (MDROs) found in the gut. More importantly, ESBL-related genes can be spread through the intestinal bacteria and enable the propagation of resistance to susceptible commensal strains in the gut. Vaisman et al. previously reported that one-third of hospitalized IBD patients who developed pouchitis were colonized with

ESBL-producing Enterobacterales [11]. Moreover, Ananthakrishnan and McGinley found that more than onequarter of hospitalizations for comprised CD and UC are associated with antibiotic-resistant infections which had four-fold greater mortality [12]. Therefore, the severity of the course of IBD may be influenced by ESBL-producing gut bacteria, and hence, this study aimed to investigate ESBL- and carbapenemases-producing *E. coli* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* isolated from colonic biopsies of Iranian patients with IBD.

Methods and materials

Patients and sample collection

This study was carried out under the approval of the Ethical Review Committee of the Research Institute for Gastroenterology and Liver Diseases affiliated with Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran (Project No. IR.SBMU.RIGLD.REC.1401.010). Informed consent was obtained from all patients and/ or their legal guardians before sample collection. In this cross-sectional study, patients with IBD, including CD or UC, who were referred to Taleghani hospital in Tehran, Iran were enrolled between July 2021 and August 2022. Diagnosis and classification of IBD depended on a combination of clinical, radiological, endoscopic, and pathological features [13]. All biopsy specimens were taken from inflamed tissue from the ileum and/or colon of IBD patients during colonoscopy. Demographic data and clinical characteristics were recorded for all patients through a questionnaire. The disease activity of UC and CD patients was evaluated using Mayo scoring and Crohn's disease activity index (CDAI), respectively.

Bacterial isolation and identification

Biopsy samples were taken with sterile forceps passed through the colonoscopy, placed in sterile tubes containing brain heart infusion (BHI) broth, and then immediately delivered to the microbiology laboratory of the Foodborne and Waterborne Diseases Research Center, Research Institute for Gastroenterology and Liver Diseases. The fresh biopsies were aseptically homogenized and cultured on MacConkey agar followed by overnight incubation at $37 \circ C$ in aerobic conditions. Subsequently, suspected lactose fermenting colonies with different colony morphology per sample were selected and subcultured for further bacterial verification. Identification of *E. coli* and *K. pneumoniae* isolates was performed based on conventional biochemical tests using oxidase, citrate utilization, urease production, methyl red, Voges-Proskauer, motility, and various sugar fermentation tests. Additionally, molecular confirmation was performed by PCR amplification of *phoA* gene of *E. coli* and *khe* gene in *K. pneumoniae* using specific primers as previously described [14].

Antimicrobial susceptibility determination

Antimicrobial susceptibility of E. coli and K. pneumoniae isolates was carried out using the Kirby-Bauer disk diffusion method as recommended by the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) guidelines [15]. Commercially available antibiotic disks (Mast Co., United Kingdom) used in this study included ampicillin, $(10 \ \mu g)$, piperacillin $(100 \ \mu g)$, piperacillin-tazobactam $(100/10 \ \mu g)$, cefoxitin (30 μg), ceftriaxone (30 μg), cefotaxime (30 µg), ceftazidime (30 µg), cefepime (30 µg), cefixime (30 µg), imipenem (10 µg), ertapenem (10 µg), meropenem (10 µg), aztreonam (30 µg), ciprofloxacin $(5 \mu g)$, levofloxacin $(5 \mu g)$, ofloxacin $(5 \mu g)$, moxifloxacin $(5 \ \mu g)$, gatifloxacin $(5 \ \mu g)$, gentamicin $(10 \ \mu g)$, amikacin (30 µg), kanamycin (30 µg), tobramycin (10 µg), tetracycline (30 µg), and trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole (1.25/23.75 µg).

Phenotypic screening for ESBLs and carbapenemase

E. coli and *K. pneumoniae* isolates that showed a reduced zone size to third-generation cephalosporins were selected for ESBL activity screening using the double disc synergy (DDT) following the procedure described by the CLSI. Accordingly, a ceftazidime disc (30 μ g) was placed on the inoculated Mueller Hinton agar 15 mm away from the center of the amoxicillin-clavulanic acid disc (20 μ g/10 μ g). Extension of the zone of inhibition towards amoxicillin-clavulanic acid was interpreted as ESBL producer. Additionally, the Carba NP test, which has been described to be a highly sensitive method for the detection of *K. pneumoniae* carbapenemase (KPC) and metallo-beta-lactamase (MBL) [16], was performed to screen carbapenemase producers following the protocol described previously [17].

Molecular detection of plasmid genes associated with ESBLs and carbapenemase

The genomic DNA was isolated from mucosa-associated *E. coli* and *K. pneumoniae* isolates from IBD patients using QIAamp DNA Mini Kit (QIAGEN, Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Molecular detection of ESBLs was performed for the bla_{TEM} , bla_{SHV} , $bla_{\text{CTX}-M}$, bla_{PER} , bla_{VEB} , and bla_{GES} genes using multiplex PCR assays as described previously with slight modifications [18]. Amplification was carried out as follows: initial denaturation at 94 °C for 10 min; 30

cycles of 94 °C for 40 s, 60 °C for 40 s, and 72 °C for 1 min; and a final elongation step at 72 °C for 7 min. To identify carbapenemase encoding genes, multiplex PCR assays were carried out for the amplification of bla_{IMP} , bla_{VIM} , bla_{NDM} , bla_{SPM} , bla_{DIM} , and bla_{KPC} using specific primers as described previously [19]. The PCR products were separated into 1.5% agarose gels and visualized under UV light after the gels were stained with ethidium bromide.

Statistical analysis

All data were assessed using R software (version 4.0.3, The R Foundation for Statistical Computing; Vienna, Austria). The correlation between variables was assessed using Spearman and Pearson's correlation tests. Logistic regression analysis was applied to determine whether ESBL carriage is independently associated with the severity of IBD, or whether this is simply driven by the more expansive antibiotic treatment history in patients with more severe disease. All *P*-values were considered significant at a 5% level.

Results

Clinical characteristics and patients demographics

A total of 83 patients with confirmed IBD diagnosis, including 67 (80.7%) with UC and 16 (19.3%) with CD, were enrolled in the study. Based on colonoscopy and pathology findings, about 46.3% of UC patients had proctitis at diagnosis, followed by left-sided colitis (28.3%), backwash ileitis (16.4%), and pancolitis (9%). The majority of CD patients (62.5%) predominantly had ileal involvement, followed by the involvement of both ileum and colon (ileocolonic) (25%) and right-sided colitis (12.5%). Patients with UC had a median Mayo score of 9 (range 3-12) and in patients with CD, the median baseline CDAI was 129 (range 56-348). In this study, a Mayo score of 3 to 5 points indicates mildly active disease, a score of 6 to 9 points indicates moderately active disease, and a score of 10 to 12 points indicates severely active disease in UC patients. Accordingly, a CDAI score of 150-219 has been labeled as a mildly active disease and 220-400 as a moderately active disease in CD patients. No CD patients had severely active disease based on CDAI scoring. About one-third of IBD patients had a history of prior antibiotic therapy with beta-lactams (31.3%) or quinolones (38.5%). Details of the demographic and clinical characteristics of the patients are summarized in Table 1.

Bacterial isolates and antibiotic resistance profiles

In this study, 68 mucosa-associated *E. coli* (54 from UC and 14 from CD) and 23 mucosa-associated *K. pneumoniea* (16 from UC and 7 from CD) isolates were recovered from biopsies of IBD patients, in which some patients harbored different strains. As shown in Fig. 1,

 Table 1
 Baseline demographic and characteristics of patients

 with IBD enrolled in this study
 Image: Study in the study i

disease n=16 colitis n=67 Age, median [years](± IQR) 34.5 (± 20.25) 35.5 (± 15.25) BMI, mean [kg/m²] (± SD) 33.54 (± 6.2) 24.17 (± 5.9) Male, n (%) 10 (62.5%) 39 (58.2%) Smoking, n (%) 6 (37.5%) 17 (25.3%) Alcohol use, n (%) 1 (62.9%) 7 (10.4%) Drug abuse, n (%) 1 (62.9%) 4 (6%) Drug abuse, n (%) 1 (62.9%) 5 (176.1%) Bloody diarrhea 2 (75.9%) 5 (176.1%) Bloody diarrhea 2 (12.5%) 3 (15.7%) Constipation 1 (62.9%) 3 (45.9%) weakness 2 (12.5%) 12 (17.9%) Weight loss 3 (18.7%) 19 (28.3%) Hematochezia 0 (0) 2 (3%) Nausea and Vomiting 0 (0) 2 (17.9%) Nausea and Vomiting 0 (0) 2 (17.9%) Mexp x10 ⁹ /L 2.1 (± 0.7%) 2 (± 1.7%) Hemoglobin, g/dL 1.2 (1 (4.4)) 10.7 (± 5.9 Platets x10 ⁹ /L 2.4 (± 2.1 .5%) 5 (± 16.75) <	Variable	Crohn's	Ulcerative
n=16 n=67 Age, median [years][± IQR) 34.5 (± 20.25) 35 (± 15.25) BMI, mean [kg/m²] (± SD) 33.54 (± 6.25) 24.17 (± 5.9) Male, n (%) 10 (62.5%) 39 (58.2%) Smoking, n (%) 6 (37.5%) 17 (25.3%) Alcohol use, n (%) 1 (6.2%) 7 (10.4%) Drug abuse, n (%) 1 (6.2%) 5 (76.1%) Biody diarrhea 12 (75%) 5 1(76.1%) Blody diarrhea 7 (43.7%) 38 (56.7%) Constipation 1 (6.2%) 12 (17.9%) Weight loss 3 (18.7%) 19 (28.3%) Hematochezia 0 (0) 10 (14.9%) Fever 0 (0) 2 (3%) Nausea and Vomiting 0 (0) 2 (3%) Hemoglobin, g/dL 12.21 (±4.14) 10.7 (±5.5) Platelets, ×10 ⁹ /L 244.5 261 (±81) (±18.75) MCV, femtoliter 23 (±9.75) 26 (±6.56) MCV, femtoliter 23 (±9.75) 26 (±6.57) 15 (±16.75) CRP, mg/dL 0.65 (±0.37) 0.7 (±1.15) <td< th=""><th></th><th>disease</th><th>colitis</th></td<>		disease	colitis
Age, median (years](±IQR) 34.5 (±2.22) 35 (±15.25) BMI, mean (kg/m²] (±SD) 33.54 (±6.2) 24.17 (±5.9) 39 (58.2%) 39 (58.2%) Smoking, n (%) 6 (37.5%) 17 (25.3%) Alcohol use, n (%) 1 (6.2%) 3 (6%) Drug abuse, n (%) 1 (6.2%) 2 (12.5%) Bill history of IBD, n (%) 2 (12.5%) 3 (16.7%) Diarrhea 1 (6.2%) 3 (45%) Constipation 1 (6.2%) 3 (4.5%) Weight loss 3 (18.7%) 19 (28.3%) Hematochezia 0 (0) 10 (14.9%) Fever 0 (0) 2 (17.9%) Nausea and Vomiting 0 (0) 2 (3%) Nausea and Vomiting 0 (0) 2 (14.9%) Platelets, ×10 ⁹ /L 244.5 2 (1 (1.9%) Platelets, ×10 ⁹ /L 244.5 2 (1 (1.9%) Platelets, ×10 ⁹ /L 244.5 2 (1 (1.8%) MCV, femtoliter 83.3 (±8.45) 92.8 Total bilirubin, mg/dL 0.65 (±0.37) 0.7 (±1.15) Direct b		n=16	n=67
BMI, mean [kg/m ²] (± SD) 33.54 (± 6.2) 24.17 (± 5.9) Male, n (%) 39 (58.2%) 39 (58.2%) Smoking, n (%) 6 (37.5%) 17 (25.3%) Alcohol use, n (%) 1 (6.2%) 4 (6%) Drug abuse, n (%) 1 (6.2%) 5 (7.5%) Familial history of IBD, n (%) 2 (12.5%) 5 (7.5%) Complications, n (%) 1 (6.2%) 3 (4.5%) Diarrhea 7 (43.7%) 38 (56.7%) Constipation 1 (6.2%) 3 (4.5%) Weight loss 3 (18.7%) 19 (23.3%) Hematochezia 0 (0) 10 (14.9%) Fever 0 (0) 2 (17.9%) Nausea and Vomiting 0 (0) 12 (17.9%) WBC, × 10 ⁹ /L 9.1 (± 0.5) 5.9 * 10 ³ Hemoglobin, g/dL 12.21 (± 4.14) 10.7 (± 5) Platelets, × 10 ⁹ /L 244.5 26 (± 5.1) MCV, femtoliter 83.3 (± 8.45) 92.8 ESR, mm/hr 24 (± 21.75) 51 (± 16.75) CRP, mg/dL 0.65 (± 0.37) 0.7 (± 1.15)	Age, median [years](±IQR)	34.5 (±20.25)	35 (±15.25)
Image:	BMI, mean [kg/m²] (±SD)	33.54 (±6.2)	24.17
Male, n (%) 10 (62.5%) 39 (58.2%) Smoking, n (%) 6 (37.5%) 17 (25.3%) Alcohol use, n (%) 1 (62%) 7 (10.4%) Familial history of IBD, n (%) 2 (12.5%) 5 (7.5%) Complications, n (%) 2 (12.5%) 5 (7.5%) Complications, n (%) 1 (6.2%) 38 (56.7%) Constipation 1 (6.2%) 3 (4.5%) weakness 2 (12.5%) 12 (17.9%) Weight loss 3 (18.7%) 19 (28.3%) Hematochezia 0 (0) 10 (14.9%) Fever 0 (0) 12 (17.9%) WBC, ×10 ⁹ /L 9.1 (±0.5) 5.9 * 10 ³ (±5) Nausea and Vomiting 0 (0) 12 (17.9%) WBC, ×10 ⁹ /L 9.1 (±0.5) 5.9 * 10 ³ (±5) Hemoglobin, g/dL 12.21 (±4.14) 10.7 (±5) Platelets, ×10 ⁹ /L 244.5 261 (±81) (±18.75) 51 (±16.75) 51 (±16.75) CRP, mg/dL 0.65 (±0.37) 0.7 (±1.15) Direct bilirubin, mg/dL 0.65 (±0.37) 0.7 (±1.15)	M-L (0/)	10 (62 50()	(± 5.9)
Smoking, n (%) 6 (37.5%) 1 / (25.3%) Alcohol use, n (%) 1 (6.2%) 7 (10.4%) Drug abuse, n (%) 2 (12.5%) 5 (7.5%) Complications, n (%) 1 (6.2%) 5 (7.5%) Complications, n (%) 1 (6.2%) 3 (4.5%) Bloody diarrhea 7 (43.7%) 38 (56.7%) Constipation 1 (6.2%) 3 (4.5%) weakness 2 (12.5%) 12 (17.9%) Weight loss 3 (18.7%) 19 (28.3%) Hematochezia 0 (0) 10 (14.9%) Fever 0 (0) 2 (3%) Nausea and Vomiting 0 (0) 12 (17.9%) WBC, ×10 ⁹ /L 9.1 (± 0.5) 5.9 * 10 ³ (± 5) Hemoglobin, g/dL 12.21 (± 4.14) 10.7 (± 5) Platelets, ×10 ⁹ /L 244.5 261 (± 81) (± 18.75) MCV, femtoliter 83.3 (± 8.45) 92.8 (± 14.4) ESR, mm/hr 24 (± 21.75) 51 (± 16.75) CR, mg/dL 75 (± 4.9) 62.5 (± 37) Direct bilirubin, mg/dL 0.2 (± 0.7) 0.2 (± 0.3)	Male, n (%)	10 (62.5%)	39 (58.2%)
Alconol use, n (%) 1 (6.2%) 7 (10.4%) Drug abuse, n (%) 1 (6.2%) 4 (6%) Eamilial history of IBD, n (%) 2 (12.5%) 5 (7.5%) Complications, n (%) 1 (6.2%) 3 (8 (56.7%) Diarrhea 1 2 (75%) 3 (4.5%) Weight loss 2 (12.5%) 12 (17.9%) Weight loss 3 (18.7%) 19 (28.3%) Hematochezia 0 (0) 10 (14.9%) Fever 0 (0) 2 (3%) Nausea and Vomiting 0 (0) 12 (17.9%) WBC, ×10 ⁹ /L 9.1 (± 0.5) 5.9 * 10 ³ (± 5) Hemoglobin, g/dL 1.2.21 (± 4.14) 10.7 (± 5) Platelets, ×10 ⁹ /L 244.5 261 (± 81) (± 18.75) MCV, femtoliter 83.3 (± 8.45) 92.8 (± 14.4) ESR, mm/hr 24 (± 21.75) 51 (± 16.75) CRP, mg/dL 0.65 (± 0.37) 0.7 (± 1.15) Direct bilirubin, mg/dL 0.65 (± 0.37) 0.7 (± 1.15) Direct bilirubin, mg/dL 0.2 (± 0.7) 22 (± 0.35) ALT, U/L 18 (± 6.5) 23 (± 43)<	Smoking, n (%)	6 (37.5%)	T/ (25.3%)
Drug abuse, n (%) 1 (6.2%) 4 (6%) Familial history of IBD, n (%) 2 (12.5%) 5 (7.5%) Complications, n (%) 12 (75%) 38 (56.7%) Diarrhea 12 (75%) 38 (56.7%) Constipation 1 (6.2%) 3 (4.5%) weakness 2 (12.5%) 12 (17.9%) Weight loss 3 (18.7%) 19 (28.3%) Hematochezia 0 (0) 10 (14.9%) Nausea and Vomiting 0 (0) 12 (17.9%) WBC, ×10 ⁹ /L 9.1 (± 0.5) 5.9 * 10 ³ (± 5) Hemoglobin, g/dL 1.2.21 (± 4.14) 10.7 (± 5) Platelets, ×10 ⁹ /L 244.5 261 (± 81) (± 18.75) MCV, femtoliter 8.3 (± 8.45) 92.8 ESR, mm/hr 24 (± 21.75) 51 (± 16.75) CRP, mg/dL 0.65 (± 0.37) 0.7 (± 1.15) Direct bilirubin, mg/dL 0.65 (± 0.37) 0.7 (± 1.15) Direct bilirubin, mg/dL 0.2 (± 0.7) 22 (± 0.35) ALT, U/L 18 (± 6.5) 23 (± 43) ALP, U/L 15 (± 9) 15 (± 7) <td>Alconol use, n (%)</td> <td>1 (6.2%)</td> <td>/ (10.4%)</td>	Alconol use, n (%)	1 (6.2%)	/ (10.4%)
Familial history of IBD, h (%) 2 (12.5%) 5 (7.5%) Complications, n (%) 12 (75%) 51(76.1%) Bloody diarrhea 7 (43.7%) 38 (56.7%) Constipation 1 (6.2%) 3 (4.5%) weakness 2 (12.5%) 12 (17.9%) Weight loss 3 (18.7%) 19 (28.3%) Hematochezia 0 (0) 10 (14.9%) Fever 0 (0) 12 (17.9%) Nausea and Vomiting 0 (0) 12 (17.9%) WBC, ×10 ⁹ /L 9.1 (±0.5) 5.9*10 ³ (±5) Platelets, ×10 ⁹ /L 244.5 261 (±81) (±18.75) Platelets, ×10 ⁹ /L 244.5 261 (±81) (±18.75) MCV, femtoliter 8.33 (±8.45) 92.8 (±14.4) ESR, mm/hr 24 (±21.75) 51 (±16.75) CRP, mg/dL 75 (±44.9) 62.5 (±36.75) Direct bilirubin, mg/dL 0.65 (±0.37) 0.7 (±1.15) Direct bilirubin, mg/dL 0.2 (±0.7) 0.2 (±0.35) AST, U/L 23 (±4.3) 4.1, U/L ALP, U/L 18 (±6.5) 23 (±4.3) <t< td=""><td>Drug abuse, n (%)</td><td>1 (6.2%)</td><td>4 (6%)</td></t<>	Drug abuse, n (%)	1 (6.2%)	4 (6%)
Diarrhea 12 (75%) 51/76.1%) Bloody diarrhea 7 (43.7%) 38 (56.7%) Constipation 1 (6.2%) 3 (4.5%) weakness 2 (12.5%) 12 (17.9%) Weight loss 3 (18.7%) 19 (28.3%) Hematochezia 0 (0) 10 (14.9%) Fever 0 (0) 2 (3%) Nausea and Vomiting 0 (0) 2 (17.9%) Laboratory results, median (± IQR) 2 (14.0.5) 5.9 * 10 ³ (±5) Platelets, x10 ⁹ /L 244.5 261 (±81) (±18.75) Platelets, x10 ⁹ /L 244.5 261 (±81) (±18.75) MCV, femtoliter 83.3 (±8.45) 92.8 (±14.4) ESR, mm/hr 24 (±21.75) 51 (±16.75) CRP, mg/dL 75 (±44.9) 62.5 (±36.75) Direct bilirubin, mg/dL 0.65 (±0.37) 0.7 (±1.15) Direct bilirubin, mg/dL 0.2 (±0.75) 26 (±56) ALT, U/L 18 (±6.5) 23 (±4.3) ALP, U/L 15 (±7) 15 (±7) Builtinubin, mg/dL 0.5 (±1.37) 17 (±1.03)	Familial history of IBD, n (%)	2 (12.5%)	5 (7.5%)
Darrhea 12 (75%) 51 (76.1%) Bloody diarrhea 7 (43.7%) 38 (56.7%) Constipation 1 (6.2%) 3 (4.5%) weakness 2 (12.5%) 12 (17.9%) Weight loss 3 (18.7%) 19 (28.3%) Hematochezia 0 (0) 10 (14.9%) Fever 0 (0) 2 (3%) Nausea and Vomiting 0 (0) 12 (17.9%) WBC, ×10 ⁹ /L 0 (0) 2 (3%) WBC, ×10 ⁹ /L 9.1 (±0.5) 5.9 * 10 ³ (±5) Hemoglobin, g/dL 12.21 (±4.14) 10.7 (±5) Platelets, ×10 ⁹ /L 244.5 261 (±81)<(±18.75)	Complications, n (%)	4.0 (750()	54 (36.400)
Bloody diarrhea 7 (43.7%) 38 (56.7%) Constipation 1 (6.2%) 3 (4.5%) weakness 2 (12.5%) 12 (17.9%) Weight loss 3 (18.7%) 19 (28.3%) Hematochezia 0 (0) 10 (14.9%) Fever 0 (00 2 (3%) Nausea and Vomiting 0 (0) 12 (17.9%) Laboratory results, median (± IQR) 9.0 (0) 12 (17.9%) WBC, ×10 ⁹ /L 9.1 (±0.5) 5.9 * 10 ³ (±5) Hemoglobin, g/dL 12.21 (±4.14) 10.7 (±5) Platelets, ×10 ⁹ /L 244.5 261 (±81) (±18.75) MCV, femtoliter 83.3 (±8.45) 92.8 (±14.4) ESR, mm/hr 24 (±21.75) 51 (±16.75) CRP, mg/dL 0.65 (±0.37) 0.7 (±1.15) Direct bilirubin, mg/dL 0.2 (±0.7) 0.2 (±0.35) AST, U/L 33 (±6.5) 23 (±4.3) ALP, U/L 18 (±6.5) 23 (±4.3) BUN, mg/dL 16 (±5.5) 23 (±4.3) ALP, U/L 18 (±6.5) 23 (±4.3) Fluoroquinolo	Diarrhea	12 (75%)	51(/6.1%)
Constipation 1 (6.2%) 3 (4.5%) weakness 2 (12.5%) 12 (17.9%) Weight loss 3 (18.7%) 19 (28.3%) Hematochezia 0 (0) 10 (14.9%) Fever 0 (0) 2 (3%) Nausea and Vomiting 0 (0) 12 (17.9%) Laboratory results, median (±1QR) 9.0 (0) 12 (17.9%) WBC, ×10 ⁹ /L 9.1 (±0.5) 5.9 * 10 ³ (±5) Hemoglobin, g/dL 12.21 (±4.14) 10.7 (±5) Platelets, ×10 ⁹ /L 244.5 261 (±81) (±18.75) MCV, femtoliter 83.3 (±8.45) 92.8 MCV, femtoliter 24 (±21.75) 51 (±16.75) CRP, mg/dL 75 (±44.9) 62.5 (±14.4) 10.7 (±1.15) Direct bilirubin, mg/dL 0.65 (±0.37) 0.7 (±1.15) Direct bilirubin, mg/dL 0.2 (±0.7) 0.2 (±0.35) AST, U/L 23 (±9.75) 26 (±56) ALT, U/L 18 (±6.5) 23 (±4.3) ALP, U/L 15 (±9) 15 (±7) BUN, mg/dL 15	Bloody diarrhea	7 (43.7%)	38 (56.7%)
weakness 2 (12.5%) 12 (17.9%) Weight loss 3 (18.7%) 19 (28.3%) Hematochezia 0 (0) 10 (14.9%) Fever 0 (0) 2 (3%) Nausea and Vomiting 0 (0) 12 (17.9%) Laboratory results, median (± IQR) 9.0 (0) 12 (17.9%) WBC, ×10 ⁹ /L 9.1 (± 0.5) 5.9 * 10 ³ (± 5) Hemoglobin, g/dL 12.21 (± 4.14) 10.7 (± 5) Platelets, ×10 ⁹ /L 244.5 261 (± 81) (± 18.75) MCV, femtoliter 83.3 (± 8.45) 92.8 (± 14.4) ESR, mm/hr 24 (± 21.75) 51 (± 16.75) CRP, mg/dL 75 (± 44.9) 62.5 (± 37.7) Direct bilirubin, mg/dL 0.65 (± 0.37) 0.7 (± 1.15) Direct bilirubin, mg/dL 0.2 (± 0.7) 0.2 (± 0.35) AST, U/L 23 (± 9.75) 26 (± 56) ALT, U/L 18 (± 6.5) 23 (± 4.3) ALP, U/L 15 (± 9) 15 (± 7) Medications, n (%) 21 (31.3%) 15 (± 7) Fluoroquinolones 7 (43.7%) 23 (34.3%)	Constipation	1 (6.2%)	3 (4.5%)
Weight loss 3 (18.7%) 19 (28.3%) Hematochezia 0 (0) 10 (14.9%) Fever 0 (0) 2 (3%) Nausea and Vomiting 0 (0) 12 (17.9%) Laboratory results, median (±1QR) V V WBC, ×10 ⁹ /L 9.1 (± 0.5) 5.9 * 10 ³ (± 5) Hemoglobin, g/dL 12.21 (± 4.14) 10.7 (± 5) Platelets, ×10 ⁹ /L 244.5 261 (± 81) (± 18.75) MCV, femtoliter 83.3 (± 8.45) 92.8 (± 14.4) ESR, mm/hr 24 (± 21.75) 51 (± 16.75) CRP, mg/dL 75 (± 44.9) 62.5 (± 3.75) Direct bilirubin, mg/dL 0.65 (± 0.37) 0.7 (± 1.15) Direct bilirubin, mg/dL 0.2 (± 0.7) 0.2 (± 0.35) ALT, U/L 18 (± 6.5) 23 (± 4.3) ALP, U/L 165.5 (± 3.7) 17.3 (± 100) BUN, mg/dL 15 (± 9) 15 (± 7) Metications, n (%) 21 (31.3%) 7 (43.7%) 23 (34.3%) NSAIDs 7 (43.7%) 23 (34.3%) 33 (34.3%) NSAIDs 7 (43.7%)	weakness	2 (12.5%)	12 (17.9%)
Hematochezia 0 (0) 10 (14.9%) Fever 0 (0) 2 (3%) Nausea and Vomiting 0 (0) 12 (17.9%) Laboratory results, median (± IQR) 9.1 (± 0.5) 5.9 * 10 ³ (± 5) WBC, × 10 ⁹ /L 9.1 (± 0.5) 5.9 * 10 ³ (± 5) Hemoglobin, g/dL 12.21 (± 4.14) 10.7 (± 5) Platelets, × 10 ⁹ /L 244.5 261 (± 81) (± 18.75) MCV, femtoliter 83.3 (± 8.45) 92.8 (± 14.4) ESR, mm/hr 24 (± 21.75) 51 (± 16.75) CRP, mg/dL 75 (± 44.9) 62.5 (± 36.75) Total bilirubin, mg/dL 0.65 (± 0.37) 0.7 (± 1.15) Direct bilirubin, mg/dL 0.2 (± 0.7) 0.2 (± 0.35) AST, U/L 23 (± 9.75) 26 (± 56) ALT, U/L 18 (± 6.5) 23 (± 4.3) ALP, U/L 15 (± 9) 15 (± 7) Metications, n (%) 15 (± 9) 15 (± 7) Metronidazole 7 (43.7%) 23 (34.3%) NSAIDs 7 (43.7%) 23 (34.3%) NSAIDs 7 (43.7%) 23 (34.3%)	Weight loss	3 (18.7%)	19 (28.3%)
Fever0 (0)2 (3%)Nausea and Vomiting0 (0)12 (17.9%)Laboratory results, median (± IQR) (1 ± 0.5) 5.9×10^3 (±5)WBC, ×10 ⁹ /L2.1 (± 0.5) 5.9×10^3 (±5)Platelets, ×10 ⁹ /L244.5261 (± 8.1) (± 18.75)MCV, femtoliter24 (± 21.75)92.8 (± 14.4)ESR, mm/hr24 (± 21.75)51 (± 16.75) (± 36.75)Total bilirubin, mg/dL0.65 (± 0.37)0.7 (± 1.15) (± 36.75)Direct bilirubin, mg/dL0.2 (± 0.7)0.2 (± 0.35) (± 36.75)AST, U/L23 (± 9.75)26 (± 56) (± 36.75)ALT, U/L18 (± 6.5)23 (± 43) (± 100)BUN, mg/dL15 (± 9)15 (± 7)Metications, n (%) $7 (43.7\%)$ 25 (37.3%) (43.7%)Fluoroquinolones7 (43.7%)23 (34.3%) (37 (55.2%)SID1 (6.2%)1 4 (20.8%) (21 (71.9%)Anti-TNF biologics6 (37.5%)29 (43.3%) (21 (71.9%)Mayo Score-9 (3-12) (CDA)CDAl129 (56-348)-	Hematochezia	0 (0)	10 (14.9%)
Nausea and Vomiting 0 (0) 12 (17.9%) Laboratory results, median (± IQR) 9.1 (± 0.5) 5.9×10^3 (± 5) WBC, × 10 ⁹ /L 9.1 (± 0.5) 5.9×10^3 (± 5) Hemoglobin, g/dL 12.21 (± 4.14) 10.7 (± 5) Platelets, × 10 ⁹ /L 244.5 261 (± 81) (± 18.75) MCV, femtoliter 8.3 (± 8.45) 92.8 (± 14.4) ESR, mm/hr 24 (± 21.75) 51 (± 16.75) CRP, mg/dL 75 (± 44.9) 62.5 (± 36.75) Total bilirubin, mg/dL 0.65 (± 0.37) 0.7 (± 1.15) Direct bilirubin, mg/dL 0.2 (± 0.7) 0.2 (± 0.35) AST, U/L 23 (± 9.75) 26 (± 56) ALT, U/L 18 (± 6.5) 23 (± 43) ALP, U/L 18 (± 6.5) 23 (± 43) BUN, mg/dL 15 (± 9) 15 (± 7) Metronidazole 7 (43.7%) 25 (37.3%) Metronidazole 7 (43.7%) 23 (34.3%) NSAIDs 7 (43.7%) 23 (34.3%) SID 1 (6.2%) 14 (20.8%) PPI 4 (25%) 20 (17.9%)	Fever	0 (0)	2 (3%)
Laboratory results, median (± IQR) 9.1 (± 0.5) $5.9 * 10^3$ (± 5) WBC, ×10 ⁹ /L 9.1 (± 0.5) $5.9 * 10^3$ (± 5) Hemoglobin, g/dL 12.21 (± 4.14) 10.7 (± 5) Platelets, ×10 ⁹ /L 244.5 261 (± 81) (± 18.75) (± 18.75) (± 14.4) ESR, mm/hr 24 (± 21.75) 51 (± 16.75) CRP, mg/dL 75 (± 44.9) 62.5 Total bilirubin, mg/dL 0.65 (± 0.37) 0.7 (± 1.15) Direct bilirubin, mg/dL 0.2 (± 0.7) 0.2 (± 0.35) AST, U/L 23 (± 9.75) 26 (± 56) ALT, U/L 18 (± 6.5) 23 (± 43) ALP, U/L 18 (± 6.5) 23 (± 43) BUN, mg/dL 15 (± 9) 15 (± 7) BUN, mg/dL 15 (± 9) 15 (± 7) Metronidazole 7 (43.7%) 23 (34.3%) NSAIDs 7 (43.7%) 23 (34.3%) NSAIDs 7 (43.7%) 23 (34.3%) PI 4 (25%) 12 (17.9%) Anti-TNF biologics 6 (37.5%) 29 (43.3%) PI <td>Nausea and Vomiting</td> <td>0 (0)</td> <td>12 (17.9%)</td>	Nausea and Vomiting	0 (0)	12 (17.9%)
WBC, ×10%/L9.1 (±0.5) 5.9×10^3 (±5)Hemoglobin, g/dL12.21 (±4.14)10.7 (±5)Platelets, ×10%/L244.5261 (±81) (±18.75)MCV, femtoliter83.3 (±8.45)92.8 (±14.4)ESR, mm/hr24 (±21.75)51 (±16.75)CRP, mg/dL75 (±44.9)62.5 (±36.75)Total bilirubin, mg/dL0.65 (±0.37)0.7 (±1.15)Direct bilirubin, mg/dL0.2 (±0.7)0.2 (±0.35)AST, U/L23 (±9.75)26 (±56)ALT, U/L18 (±6.5)23 (±43)ALP, U/L15 (±9)15 (±7)BUN, mg/dL15 (±9)15 (±7)Metications, n (%)7 (43.7%)25 (37.3%)Fluoroquinolones7 (43.7%)23 (34.3%)NSAIDs7 (43.7%)37 (55.2%)SID1 (6.2%)14 (20.8%)PPI4 (25%)12 (17.9%)Anti-TNF biologics6 (37.5%)29 (43.3%)Disease activity index, median (range)-	Laboratory results, median (±IQR)		
Hemoglobin, g/dL12.21 (±4.14)10.7 (±5)Platelets, $\times 10^9$ /L244.5261 (±81)(±18.75)(±18.75)(±14.4)MCV, femtoliter83.3 (±8.45)92.8(±14.4)24 (±21.75)51 (±16.75)CRP, mg/dL24 (±21.75)51 (±16.75)CRP, mg/dL0.65 (±0.37)0.7 (±1.15)Direct bilirubin, mg/dL0.65 (±0.37)0.7 (±1.15)Direct bilirubin, mg/dL0.2 (±0.7)0.2 (±0.35)AST, U/L23 (±9.75)26 (±56)ALT, U/L18 (±6.5)23 (±43)ALP, U/L165.5 (±37)173 (±100)BUN, mg/dL15 (±9)15 (±7)Metications, n (%)5 (31.2%)21 (31.3%)Fluoroquinolones7 (43.7%)23 (34.3%)NSAIDs7 (43.7%)23 (34.3%)SID1 (6.2%)14 (20.8%)PI4 (25%)12 (17.9%)Anti-TNF biologics6 (37.5%)29 (43.3%)Disease activity index, median (range)-	WBC, ×10 ⁹ /L	9.1 (±0.5)	5.9 * 10 ³ (± 5)
Platelets, ×10 ⁹ /L 244.5 (± 18.75) 261 (± 81) (± 18.75) MCV, femtoliter 83.3 (± 8.45) 92.8 (± 14.4) ESR, mm/hr 24 (± 21.75) 51 (± 16.75) CRP, mg/dL 75 (± 44.9) 62.5 (± 36.75) Total bilirubin, mg/dL 0.65 (± 0.37) 0.7 (± 1.15) Direct bilirubin, mg/dL 0.2 (± 0.7) 0.2 (± 0.35) AST, U/L 23 (± 9.75) 26 (± 56) ALT, U/L 18 (± 6.5) 23 (± 4.3) ALP, U/L 18 (± 6.5) 23 (± 4.3) BUN, mg/dL 15 (± 9) 15 (± 7) Metoations, n (%) 15 (± 9) 15 (± 7) Fluoroquinolones 7 (43.7%) 25 (37.3%) Metronidazole 7 (43.7%) 23 (34.3%) NSAIDs 7 (43.7%) 23 (34.3%) SID 1 (6.2%) 14 (20.8%) PPI 4 (25%) 12 (17.9%) Anti-TNF biologics 6 (37.5%) 29 (43.3%) Mayo Score - 9 (3-12)	Hemoglobin, g/dL	12.21 (±4.14)	10.7 (±5)
MCV, femtoliter (± 18.75) MCV, femtoliter 83.3 (± 8.45) 92.8 (± 14.4) ESR, mm/hr 24 (± 21.75) 51 (± 16.75) CRP, mg/dL 75 (± 44.9) 62.5 (± 36.75) Total bilirubin, mg/dL 0.65 (± 0.37) 0.7 (± 1.15) Direct bilirubin, mg/dL 0.2 (± 0.7) 0.2 (± 0.35) AST, U/L 23 (± 9.75) 26 (± 56) ALT, U/L 18 (± 6.5) 23 (± 43) ALP, U/L 18 (± 6.5) 23 (± 43) ALP, U/L 165.5 (± 37) 173 (± 100) BUN, mg/dL 15 (± 9) 15 (± 7) Metoations, n (%) 15 (± 9) 15 (± 7) Fluoroquinolones 7 (43.7%) 25 (37.3%) Metronidazole 7 (43.7%) 23 (34.3%) NSAIDs 7 (43.7%) 37 (55.2%) SID 1 (6.2%) 14 (20.8%) PPI 4 (25%) 12 (17.9%) Anti-TNF biologics 6 (37.5%) 29 (43.3%) Disease activity index, median (range) 129 (56–348) -	Platelets, ×10 ⁹ /L	244.5	261 (±81)
MCV, femtoliter 83.3 (± 8.45) 92.8 (± 14.4) ESR, mm/hr 24 (± 21.75) 51 (± 16.75) CRP, mg/dL 75 (± 44.9) 62.5 (± 36.75) Total bilirubin, mg/dL 0.65 (± 0.37) 0.7 (± 1.15) Direct bilirubin, mg/dL 0.2 (± 0.7) 0.2 (± 0.35) AST, U/L 23 (± 9.75) 26 (± 56) ALT, U/L 18 (± 6.5) 23 (± 43) ALP, U/L 165.5 (± 37) 173 (± 100) BUN, mg/dL 15 (± 9) 15 (± 7) Medications, n (%) 15 (± 9) 15 (± 7) Fluoroquinolones 7 (43.7%) 23 (34.3%) NSAIDs 7 (43.7%) 23 (34.3%) NSAIDs 7 (43.7%) 37 (55.2%) SID 1 (6.2%) 14 (20.8%) PPI 4 (25%) 12 (17.9%) Anti-TNF biologics 6 (37.5%) 29 (43.3%) Disease activity index, median (range) - 9 (3-12)		(±18.75)	
ESR, mm/hr 24 (±21.75) 51 (±16.75) CRP, mg/dL 75 (±44.9) 62.5 (±36.75) Total bilirubin, mg/dL 0.65 (±0.37) 0.7 (±1.15) Direct bilirubin, mg/dL 0.2 (±0.7) 0.2 (±0.35) AST, U/L 23 (±9.75) 26 (±56) ALT, U/L 18 (±6.5) 23 (±43) ALP, U/L 165.5 (± 37) 173 (±100) BUN, mg/dL 15 (±9) 15 (±7) Metications, n (%) 15 (±9) 15 (±7) Fluoroquinolones 7 (43.7%) 23 (34.3%) NSAIDs 7 (43.7%) 37 (55.2%) SID 1 (6.2%) 14 (20.8%) PPI 4 (25%) 12 (17.9%) Anti-TNF biologics 6 (37.5%) 29 (43.3%) Disease activity index, median (range) 20 (3.3%) 20 (3.3%)	MCV, femtoliter	83.3 (±8.45)	92.8 (± 14.4)
CRP, mg/dL 75 (±44.9) 62.5 (±36.75) Total bilirubin, mg/dL 0.65 (±0.37) 0.7 (±1.15) Direct bilirubin, mg/dL 0.2 (±0.7) 0.2 (±0.35) AST, U/L 23 (±9.75) 26 (±56) ALT, U/L 18 (±6.5) 23 (±43) ALP, U/L 165.5 (±37) 173 (±100) BUN, mg/dL 15 (±9) 15 (±7) Metications, n (%) 21 (31.3%) Fluoroquinolones 7 (43.7%) 23 (34.3%) NSAIDs 7 (43.7%) 23 (34.3%) NSAIDs 7 (43.7%) 37 (55.2%) SID 1 (6.2%) 14 (20.8%) PPI 4 (25%) 12 (17.9%) Anti-TNF biologics 6 (37.5%) 29 (43.3%) Disease activity index, median (range) 12 (17.9%)	ESR, mm/hr	24 (±21.75)	51 (±16.75)
Total bilirubin, mg/dL 0.65 (±0.37) 0.7 (±1.15) Direct bilirubin, mg/dL 0.2 (±0.7) 0.2 (±0.35) AST, U/L 23 (±9.75) 26 (±5.6) ALT, U/L 18 (±6.5) 23 (±4.3) ALP, U/L 165.5 (±3.7) 173 (±1.00) BUN, mg/dL 15 (±9) 15 (±7) Medications, n (%) 5 (31.2%) 21 (31.3%) Fluoroquinolones 7 (43.7%) 23 (34.3%) NSAIDs 7 (43.7%) 37 (55.2%) SID 1 (6.2%) 14 (20.8%) PPI 4 (25%) 12 (17.9%) Anti-TNF biologics 6 (37.5%) 29 (43.3%) Disease activity index, median (range) - 9 (3–12)	CRP, mg/dL	75 (±44.9)	62.5 (± 36.75)
Direct bilirubin, mg/dL 0.2 (±0.7) 0.2 (±0.35) AST, U/L 23 (±9.75) 26 (±5.6) ALT, U/L 18 (±6.5) 23 (±4.3) ALP, U/L 165.5 (±3.7) 173 (±1.00) BUN, mg/dL 15 (±9) 15 (±7) Medications, n (%) 7 43.7%) 25 (37.3%) Fluoroquinolones 7 (43.7%) 23 (34.3%) NSAIDs 7 (43.7%) 37 (55.2%) SID 1 (6.2%) 14 (20.8%) PPI 4 (25%) 12 (17.9%) Anti-TNF biologics 6 (37.5%) 29 (43.3%) Diseste activity index, median (range) Y Y Mayo Score - 9 (3–12)	Total bilirubin, mg/dL	0.65 (±0.37)	0.7 (±1.15)
AST, U/L 23 (±9.75) 26 (±56) ALT, U/L 18 (±6.5) 23 (±43) ALP, U/L 165.5 (±37) 173 (±100) BUN, mg/dL 15 (±9) 15 (±7) Medications, n (%) 5 (31.2%) 21 (31.3%) Fluoroquinolones 7 (43.7%) 25 (37.3%) Metronidazole 7 (43.7%) 23 (34.3%) NSAIDs 7 (43.7%) 37 (55.2%) SID 1 (6.2%) 14 (20.8%) PPI 4 (25%) 12 (17.9%) Anti-TNF biologics 6 (37.5%) 29 (43.3%) Diseste activity index, median (range) Mayo Score - 9 (3–12)	Direct bilirubin, mg/dL	0.2 (±0.7)	0.2 (±0.35)
ALT, U/L 18 (±6.5) 23 (±43) ALP, U/L 165.5 (±37) 173 (±100) BUN, mg/dL 15 (±9) 15 (±7) Medications, n (%) 5 (31.2%) 21 (31.3%) Fluoroquinolones 7 (43.7%) 25 (37.3%) Metronidazole 7 (43.7%) 23 (34.3%) NSAIDs 7 (43.7%) 37 (55.2%) SID 1 (6.2%) 14 (20.8%) PPI 4 (25%) 12 (17.9%) Anti-TNF biologics 6 (37.5%) 29 (43.3%) Mayo Score - 9 (3–12) CDAL 129 (56–348) -	AST, U/L	23 (± 9.75)	26 (±56)
ALP, U/L 165.5 (± 37) 173 (± 100) BUN, mg/dL 15 (± 9) 15 (± 7) Medications, n (%) 5 (31.2%) 21 (31.3%) β-lactams 5 (31.2%) 25 (37.3%) Metronidazole 7 (43.7%) 23 (34.3%) NSAIDs 7 (43.7%) 37 (55.2%) SID 1 (6.2%) 14 (20.8%) PPI 4 (25%) 12 (17.9%) Anti-TNF biologics 6 (37.5%) 29 (43.3%) Disease activity index, median (range) Mayo Score - 9 (3–12) CDAL 129 (56–348) - -	ALT, U/L	18 (±6.5)	23 (±43)
BUN, mg/dL 15 (± 9) 15 (± 7) BUR, mg/dL 5 (31.2%) 21 (31.3%) β-lactams 5 (31.2%) 25 (37.3%) Fluoroquinolones 7 (43.7%) 25 (37.3%) Metronidazole 7 (43.7%) 23 (34.3%) NSAIDs 7 (43.7%) 37 (55.2%) SID 1 (6.2%) 14 (20.8%) PPI 4 (25%) 12 (17.9%) Anti-TNF biologics 6 (37.5%) 29 (43.3%) Mayo Score Mayo Score - 9 (3-12) CDAL 129 (56-348) -	ALP, U/L	165.5 (±37)	173 (±100)
β-lactams 5 (31.2%) 21 (31.3%) β-lactams 5 (31.2%) 21 (31.3%) Fluoroquinolones 7 (43.7%) 25 (37.3%) Metronidazole 7 (43.7%) 23 (34.3%) NSAIDs 7 (43.7%) 37 (55.2%) SID 1 (6.2%) 14 (20.8%) PPI 4 (25%) 12 (17.9%) Anti-TNF biologics 6 (37.5%) 29 (43.3%) Disease activity index, median (range) Mayo Score - 9 (3-12) CDAI 129 (56-348) -	BUN, mg/dL	15 (±9)	15 (±7)
β-lactams 5 (31.2%) 21 (31.3%) Fluoroquinolones 7 (43.7%) 25 (37.3%) Metronidazole 7 (43.7%) 23 (34.3%) NSAIDs 7 (43.7%) 37 (55.2%) SID 1 (6.2%) 14 (20.8%) PPI 4 (25%) 12 (17.9%) Anti-TNF biologics 6 (37.5%) 29 (43.3%) Disease activity index, median (range) V V Mayo Score - 9 (3-12) CDAL 129 (56-348) -	Medications, n (%)		
Fluoroquinolones 7 (43.7%) 25 (37.3%) Metronidazole 7 (43.7%) 23 (34.3%) NSAIDs 7 (43.7%) 37 (55.2%) SID 1 (6.2%) 14 (20.8%) PPI 4 (25%) 12 (17.9%) Anti-TNF biologics 6 (37.5%) 29 (43.3%) Disease activity index, median (range) 9 (3–12) Mayo Score - 9 (3–12)	β-lactams	5 (31.2%)	21 (31.3%)
Metronidazole 7 (43.7%) 23 (34.3%) NSAIDs 7 (43.7%) 37 (55.2%) SID 1 (6.2%) 14 (20.8%) PPI 4 (25%) 12 (17.9%) Anti-TNF biologics 6 (37.5%) 29 (43.3%) Disease activity index, median (range) - - Mayo Score - 9 (3-12) CDAL 129 (56-348) -	Fluoroquinolones	7 (43.7%)	25 (37.3%)
NSAIDs 7 (43.7%) 37 (55.2%) SID 1 (6.2%) 14 (20.8%) PPI 4 (25%) 12 (17.9%) Anti-TNF biologics 6 (37.5%) 29 (43.3%) Disease activity index, median (range) 9 (3-12) Mayo Score - 9 (3-12) CDAI 129 (56-348) -	Metronidazole	7 (43.7%)	23 (34.3%)
SID 1 (6.2%) 14 (20.8%) PPI 4 (25%) 12 (17.9%) Anti-TNF biologics 6 (37.5%) 29 (43.3%) Disease activity index, median (range) 29 (3-12) Mayo Score - 9 (3-12) CDAL 129 (56-348) -	NSAIDs	7 (43.7%)	37 (55.2%)
PPI 4 (25%) 12 (17.9%) Anti-TNF biologics 6 (37.5%) 29 (43.3%) Disease activity index, median (range) 9 (3-12) Mayo Score - 9 (3-12) CDAL 129 (56-348) -	SID	1 (6.2%)	14 (20.8%)
Anti-TNF biologics 6 (37.5%) 29 (43.3%) Disease activity index, median (range) Mayo Score - 9 (3–12) CDAL 129 (56–348) -	PPI	4 (25%)	12 (17.9%)
Disease activity index, median (range) Mayo Score - 9 (3–12) CDAI 129 (56–348) -	Anti-TNF biologics	6 (37.5%)	29 (43.3%)
Mayo Score - 9 (3–12)	Disease activity index, median (range)	. ,	. ,
(DA) 129 (56-348) -	Mayo Score	-	9 (3–12)
22 (30 5 10)	CDAI	129 (56–348)	-

CDAI, Crohn's disease activity index; PPI, proton-pump inhibitors; NSAIDs, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs; SID, corticosteroid immunosuppressive drugs antimicrobial susceptibility testing revealed that the majority of *E. coli* and *K. pneumoniea* isolates were non-susceptible to ampicillin, cefoxitin, cefepime, and trimethoprim, and 56% (51/91) of the isolates considered MDR, including 55.7% (39/70) of UC- and 57.2% (12/21) of CD-associated isolates.

Characteristics of ESBL- and carbapenemase-producing isolates

Phenotypic screening for ESBLs indicated that 54.4% (37/68) of investigated mucosa-associated E. coli and 69.6% (16/23) of K. pneumoniea isolates showed ESBL activity. Indeed, gut colonization with ESBL-producing E. coli and/or K. pneumoniae strains was found in 55.2% (37/67) of UC and 56.2% (9/16) of DC patients. Moreover, 7.3% (5/68) of tested E. coli and 34.8% (8/23) of K. pneumoniea were recognized as potential carbapenemase producers. Molecular analysis confirmed the presence of $bla_{\text{CTX}-M}$, bla_{SHV} , bla_{TEM} , and bla_{VEB} ESBL genes, in which bla_{CTX-M} and bla_{TEM} were the most prevalent ESBL genes among mucosa-associated E. coli (39.9% and 31.4%) and K. pneumoniea (42.8% and 21.4%), respectively. In addition, unfortunately, carbapenemase-encoding genes bla_{NDM} , bla_{IMP} and bla_{KPC} were detected in E. coli and K. pneumoniea isolates. Table 2 summarizes the frequency of ESBL- and carbapenemase-associated mobile genetic elements detected in mucosa-associated E. coli and K. pneumoniea isolates from UC and CD patients. Figure 2 shows a schematic illustration that represents the distribution of ESBL-producing E. coli and/ or K. pneumoniea isolates, as well as three major related ESBL genes, among patients with IBD according to their disease severity, e.g., CDAI or Mayo scoring. According to Pearson's correlation coefficient analysis, a significant positive correlation was found between the intestinal carriage of ESBL-producing E. coli and/or K. pneumoniea and the disease severity (based on Mayo scoring) in the patients with UC (P-value=0.03), indicating more severe patients were more likely to be colonized with ESBL producers (Fig. 3). In addition, there was a significant positive correlation between the presence of ESBL-harboring strains and prior antibiotic therapy with β -lactams and/ or quinolones (P-value=0.01). Additionally, mucosaassociated ESBL- and carbapenemase-producing isolates were more likely to be MDR (P-value=0.01). Figure 3 is a heatmap representing the correlation between the presence of ESBL-producing E. coli and K. pneumoniea isolates and the clinical and demographic features of patients with IBD enrolled in this study.

To determine if carrying ESBL-producer bacteria is linked to the disease severity independently or if it is influenced by a more extensive history of antibiotic treatment in patients with severe disease, we categorized our main outcome variable into two groups:



Fig. 1 Frequency bar chart illustrating phenotypic antibiotic susceptibility profiles of mucosa-associated *E. coli* (blue chart) and *K. pneumoniea* (red chart) isolates from colonic biopsies of patients with IBD.

Abbreviations: AK, amikacin; AMP, ampicillin; ATM, aztreonam; CAZ, ceftazidime; CFM, cefixime; CIP, ciprofloxacin; CPM, cefepime; CRO, ceftriaxone; CTX, cefotaxime; ETP, ertapenem; FOX, cefoxitin; GAT, gatifloxacin; GM, gentamicin; IMI, imipenem; K, kanamycin; LEV, levofloxacin; MEM, meropenem; MFX, moxifloxacin; OF, ofloxacin; PRL, piperacillin; PTZ, piperacillin-tazobactam; TMP, trimethoprim; TOB, tobramycin; TS, trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole

Page 6 of 11

ESBL genes	IBD-related isolates				
	<i>E. coli</i> (MDR) N=68		K. pneumoniae (MDR) N=23		
	n	%	n	%	
$bla_{CTX-M} (n=32)$	27 (17)	39.7% (25%)	5 (4)	21.7% (17.3%)	
bla_{SHV} (n = 17)	11 (6)	16.1% (8.8%)	6 (5)	26% (21.7%)	
bla_{TEM} (n = 29)	20 (11)	29.4% (16.1%)	9 (8)	39.1% (34.7%)	
$bla_{VEB} (n=1)$	1 (1)	1.8% (1.8%)	-	-	
bla_{GES} (n = 0)	-	-	-	-	
bla_{PER} (n = 0)	-	-	-	-	
CAP genes					
$bla_{\rm NDM}$ (n = 2)	1 (1)	1.8% (1.8%)	2 (2)	12.5% (12.5%)	
$bla_{\rm KPC}$ (n = 2)	-	-	3 (3)	13% (13%)	
$bla_{\text{DIM}}(n=0)$	-	-	-	-	
bla_{IMP} (n = 2)	1 (1)	1.8% (1.8%)	1 (1)	6.2% (6.2%)	
$bla_{\rm VIM}$ (n = 0)	-	-	-	-	
bla_{SPM} (n = 0)	-	-	-	-	

Table 2Frequency of ESBL- and carbapenemase-associatedmobile genetic elements among mucosa-associated *E. coli* and *K. pneumoniea* isolates from colonic biopsies of patients with IBD.

Abbreviations: CAP, carbapenemase; CD, Crohn's disease; ESBL, extendedspectrum beta-lactamases; MDR, multidrug resistant; UC, ulcerative colitis

severe disease and non-severe. We then conducted binary logistic regression to examine which input variables are associated with the patient's outcome. Accordingly, our analysis revealed that ESBL carriage was found to be associated with disease severity among patients with UC (odds ratio (OR) 4.25 [95% CI 2.76 to 5.73], *P*-value=0.001), however, there was no significant association between prior antibiotic therapy and disease severity (odds ratio (OR) 1.01 [95% CI 0.26 to 1.75], *P*-value=0.02). To enhance comprehension, we have additionally depicted the relationship between the severity of the disease and the carriage of ESBL producers, taking into account the history of long-term antibiotic treatment with beta-lactams, as illustrated in Fig. 4.

Discussion

Changes in the gut microbiome with an expansion of certain potentially harmful proteobacteria, particularly pathobiont strains belonging to the Enterobacterales, have been described to be associated with increased disease activity and a higher risk of treatment failure in patients with IBD [20–22]. Furthermore, asymptomatically intestinal colonization with ESBL-producing Enterobacterales results not only in the spread of dangerous MDROs and superbugs but also in the favor of

the reduction in microbial richness and diversity and the overgrowth of Proteobacteria and Enterobacterales pathobionts, particularly of drug-resistant E. coli and K. pneumoniea strains [23]. Moreover, it has been previously reported that ESBL-producing Enterobacterales carriers may suffer from sub-acute intestinal inflammation leading to gut microbiome dysbiosis [23]. With this in mind and based on the fact that E. coli and K. pneumoniea are the most common ESBL-producing bacteria colonizing the intestinal tract, we hypothesized that patients with IBD colonized intestinally with ESBL-producing isolates may have a more severe disease course. To the best of our knowledge, the prevalence of intestinal colonized ESBLproducing Enterobacterales has never been reported in an Iranian IBD patient population before, while these superbugs have a relatively high prevalence among Iranian population in both healthcare settings and communities [24, 25]. This is the first study to investigate the carriage status of mucosa-associated ESBL-producing E. coli and K. pneumoniea among Iranian patients with IBD.

Notoriously, we found that more than half of IBD patients were intestinally colonized with ESBL-producing E. coli and/or K. pneumoniea, which was five times more frequently than described in the European [26], Canadian [11, 27], and Chinese [27] IBD patient population. Moreover, ESBL producers in our study were significantly associated with the MDR phenotype, which is in accordance with previous reports [28, 29]. As IBD patients have a significantly elevated risk of bacterial infection that is primarily a consequence of the wide use of immunomodulators and increased intestinal permeability [30], the high colonization rate with ESBL-producers and MDROs could potentially enhance overall mortality, morbidity, and economic burden attributable to IBD-related complications. Notably, to our knowledge, this study is the first to report the intestinal carriage of *bla*_{NDM}, *bla*_{KPC}, and *bla*_{IMP}-harboring *E. coli* and *K. pneu*moniae among patients with IBD. A matter of concern is that the enrichment of such intestinal pathobionts has been described to be associated with increasing the permeability of the intestinal epithelial barriers and facilitating the translocation of the bacteria to the bloodstream [31, 32], and it may be more considerable in individuals with intestinal barrier dysfunction like IBD patients. The empirical antimicrobial therapy of infections with carbapenemase producers is not precisely addressed by infection disease societies such as the Infectious Diseases Society of America (IDSA) and the European Society of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases (ESCMID), and available treatments are limited with a significant ecological impact and possible toxicity [33, 34]. However, although intestinal carriage of carbapenemase producers may contribute to the development of severe life-threatening infections and increase the risk of



Fig. 2 Distribution of ESBL-producing *E. coli* and/or *K. pneumoniea* isolates and three major related determinants among patients with CD (left) and UC (right) according to their disease severity (e.g., CDAI or Mayo scoring) Abbreviations: CDAI, Crohn's disease activity index

comorbidities [35], we could not describe significant risk factors for these infections in carriers with IBD and further research efforts are needed to obtain more conclusive considerations in this regard.

Remarkably, we found that UC patients colonized with ESBL producers tended to have greater disease severity and symptoms than non-carriers. These observations are in accordance with those previously reported by Skujaa et al. [26], in which UC patients with ESBL-producing Enterobacterales gut colonization exhibited worse disease activity. Distinctively, we analyzed our data to determine whether ESBL producers are being selected by the regular and long-term exposure to antimicrobial agents (especially β -lactams) in more severe patients during hospitalization, infectious complications, and surgeries, or whether the colonization with ESBL-producing E. coli and/or K. pneumoniea increases the risk of the overgrowth of either resident or introduced pathobionts. According to our multivariate analysis, ESBL carriage was independently associated with the disease severity in UC patients, and this correlation was not dependent on prior long-term antibiotic treatment in patients with more severe disease. As described previously, antibiotic-resistant Enterobacterales pathobionts 'blooms' are associated with more severe intestinal inflammation and colitis [22, 36, 37].

We found a positive correlation between the presence of ESBL-harboring E. coli and/or K. pneumoniea strains and prior antibiotic therapy with β -lactams and/or quinolones. It is a widespread opinion that prior receipt of third-generation cephalosporins is a risk factor for the acquisition of ESBL-producing Enterobacterales [38]. Not surprisingly, most of the IBD patients harboring ESBL producers in this study had a history of long-term antibiotic therapy with third-generation cephalosporins, including cefixime or ceftriaxone. Furthermore, emerging resistance to ciprofloxacin, the antibiotic of choice in complicated IBD cases, has been reported in ESBLproducing Enterobacterales colonizing the gut [39, 40]. Our results provide evidence of ciprofloxacin resistance in more than 70% of ESBL-producing E. coli and/or K. pneumoniea isolates from IBD patients. Accordingly, a high gut colonization rate with ESBL-carrying Enterobacterales, mainly E. coli strains expressing the CTX-M gene, in UC patients was formerly found to be associated with high resistance to ciprofloxacin by Skuja et al. [41]. These observations imply that the relationship between ESBL production and ciprofloxacin resistance in such

(2023) 22:86



Fig. 3 Heatmap illustrating the pairwise correlation between bacterial isolates as well as clinical and demographic features of patients with IBD calculated with Pearson's correlation. The bigger the circle and the deeper the color represents a higher correlation Abbreviations: BLs, beta-lactams; CAP+, carbapenemase-producers; ESBL+, ESBL-producers; IST, immunosuppressant therapy with steroids; MDR, multidrug resistance; MET, metronidazole; NSAIDs, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, PPI, proton-pump inhibitor drugs; QNs, quinolones

cases may be due to the interplay between long-term broad-spectrum antibiotic therapy and conditions favoring the appearance of MDROs. An assist conceivable clarification for the co-occurrence of ESBL production with ciprofloxacin resistance is transporting the resistance determinates on the same plasmids [42]. Moreover, It has been documented that ESBL-producing bacteria tend to multiply resistant against other broad-spectrum antimicrobial agents [43].

The role of antimicrobial therapy in IBD is controversial and there is currently insufficient data to recommend antibiotic therapy or not. Based on the role of gut aerobic and anaerobic pathobionts in the progression of IBD, antimicrobial combination therapy appears to be a rational strategy in the management of the primary disease process or its complications. Accordingly, using ciprofloxacin combined with metronidazole is widely accepted for the treatment of colitis and perianal fistula in severe cases of UC and CD. However, on the other hand, there is a considerable risk for intestinal overcolonization with multidrug-resistant pathobionts due to the antibiotic selective pressure in IBD patients during empirical antimicrobial therapy. Dubinsky et al. have recently reported that long-term antibiotic treatment used to alleviate pouchitis in patients with UC could enrich the strains that acquired multidrug resistance loci, including ESBLs [44]. Hence, non-empirical antimicrobial and combined therapies are suggested for severe cases of IBD colonized by resistant strains. In addition, the common use of broadspectrum antimicrobial agents is not recommended in mild or moderate cases of IBD [45].

Conclusions

In summary, a high prevalence of mucosa-associated ESBL-producing *E. coli* and/or *K. pneumoniea* in IBD patients obtained in this study should be taken into consideration in the implementation of antimicrobial selection by gastroenterologists in these patients as well as infection control experts. Moreover, given our data, colonization with ESBL producers could arise disease activity in these patients. Therefore, broad-spectrum antimicrobial therapy may be a double-edged sword, and hence,



Fig. 4 Chord-diagram visualizing the correlation between disease severity and ESBL carriage, taking into account the history of antibiotic treatment in patients with UC. Our findings revealed that the severity of the disease was linked to the presence of ESBL producers, regardless of whether UC patients had received long-term antibiotic treatment prior to that

Abbreviations: ESBL+, carriage of ESBL-producers; ESBL-, carriage of ESBL non-producers; PriorCeph+, patients had a history of antibiotic treatment with cephalosporins; PriorCeph-, patients had no history of long-term exposure to cephalosporins previously

further large-scale randomized clinical trials should be carried out to explore the effect of antibacterial therapy, either individually or combined with other agents or therapies, in the management of IBD. In addition, determining the antibiotic susceptibility of fecal *E. coli* and/ or *K. pneumoniea* may be useful for selecting appropriate treatments for patients overcolonized by these

pathobionts or recognizing therapies that may pose a potential risk.

Abbreviations

BHI	Brain heart infusion
CD	Crohn's disease
CDAI	Crohn's disease activity index
ESBL	Extended-spectrum β-lactamase
IBD	Inflammatory bowel diseases
MDROs	Multidrug-resistant microorganisms

UC Ulcerative colitis

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the Taleghani Hospital Endoscopy Department for their cooperation and support in conducting this study. We are grateful to the member Research Institute for Gastroenterology and Liver Diseases, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. The authors also really appreciate Professor Mohammad Reza Zali and Dr. Abbas Yadegar for their support.

Author contributions

H.H. and N.H. have contributed to the conception and design of the work, conceived the project, obtained funding, critically revised the manuscript for important intellectual content, and approved the submitted version. AY.A. and AZ.A. have contributed to the development of methodology and collection and interpretation of data. B.K. was involved in data analysis, interpretation of data, and drafting of the article. M.R. contributed to the colonoscopy procedures and provided clinical consultations. All authors read and approved the final version of the manuscript and authorship.

Funding

This study was financially supported by the Research Institute for Gastroenterology and Liver Diseases affiliated with Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran (No. IR.SBMU.RIGLD.REC.1401.010).

Data Availability

All data generated or analysed during this study are included in this published article.

Declarations

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

This study was reviewed and approved by the Institutional Ethical Review Committee of the Research Institute for Gastroenterology and Liver Diseases (RIGLD) at Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences. The patients/ participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Author details

¹Foodborne and Waterborne Diseases Research Center, Research Institute for Gastroenterology and Liver Diseases, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

²Department of Microbiology, Karaj Branch, Islamic Azad University, Karaj, Iran

³Gastroenterology and Liver Disease Research Center, Research Institute for Gastroenterology and Liver Diseases, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

⁴Non-Communicable Diseases Research Center, Alborz University of Medical Sciences, Karaj, Iran

⁵Foodborne and Waterborne Diseases Research Center, Research Institute for Gastroenterology and Liver Diseases, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Shahid Arabi Ave., Yemen St, Velenjak, Tehran, Iran

Received: 19 March 2023 / Accepted: 29 August 2023 Published online: 14 September 2023

References

- 1. Dalal SR, Chang EB. The microbial basis of inflammatory bowel diseases. J Clin Invest. 2014;124(10):4190–6.
- Kobayashi T, Siegmund B, Le Berre C, Wei SC, Ferrante M, Shen B, et al. Ulcerative colitis. Nat Reviews Disease Primers. 2020;6(1):74.

- Ungaro R, Mehandru S, Allen PB, Peyrin-Biroulet L, Colombel JF. Ulcerative colitis. Lancet. 2017;389(10080):1756–70.
- Athanasakos A, Mazioti A, Economopoulos N, Kontopoulou C, Stathis G, Filippiadis D, et al. Inflammatory bowel disease—the role of cross-sectional imaging techniques in the investigation of the small bowel. Insights into Imaging. 2015;6(1):73–83.
- Weiser M, Simon JM, Kochar B, Tovar A, Israel JW, Robinson A, et al. Molecular classification of Crohn's disease reveals two clinically relevant subtypes. Gut. 2018;67(1):36–42.
- Ahluwalia B, Moraes L, Magnusson MK, Öhman L. Immunopathogenesis of inflammatory bowel disease and mechanisms of biological therapies. Scand J Gastroenterol. 2018;53(4):379–89.
- Khorsand B, Asadzadeh Aghdaei H, Nazemalhosseini-Mojarad E, Nadalian B, Nadalian B, Houri H. Overrepresentation of Enterobacteriaceae and Escherichia coli is the major gut microbiome signature in Crohn's disease and ulcerative colitis; a comprehensive metagenomic analysis of IBDMDB datasets. Front Cell Infect Microbiol. 2022;12.
- Sekirov I, Tam NM, Jogova M, Robertson ML, Li Y, Lupp C, et al. Antibioticinduced perturbations of the intestinal microbiota alter host susceptibility to enteric infection. Infect Immun. 2008;76(10):4726–36.
- Castanheira M, Simner PJ, Bradford PA. Extended-spectrum β-lactamases: an update on their characteristics, epidemiology and detection. JAC-Antimicrobial Resist. 2021;3(3).
- Gutiérrez-Gutiérrez B, Rodríguez-Baño J. Current options for the treatment of infections due to extended-spectrum beta-lactamase-producing Enterobacteriaceae in different groups of patients. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2019;25(8):932–42.
- Vaisman A, Pivovarov K, McGeer A, Willey B, Borgundvaag B, Porter V, et al. Prevalence and incidence of antimicrobial-resistant organisms among hospitalized inflammatory bowel disease patients. Can J Infect Dis Med Microbiol. 2013;24(4):e117–21.
- Ananthakrishnan AN, McGinley EL. Infection-related hospitalizations are associated with increased mortality in patients with inflammatory bowel diseases. J Crohns Colitis. 2013;7(2):107–12.
- Magro F, Langner C, Driessen A, Ensari A, Geboes K, Mantzaris GJ, et al. European consensus on the histopathology of inflammatory bowel disease. J Crohns Colitis. 2013;7(10):827–51.
- Li P, Zhang D, Li H, Pang J, Guo H, Qiu J. Establishment and application of Multiplex PCR for simultaneously detecting Escherichia coli, Salmonella, Klebsiella pneumoniae, and Staphylococcus aureus in Minks. Front Vet Sci. 2020;7:588173.
- 15. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI). Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing. 30 ed2021.
- Nordmann P, Poirel L, Dortet L. Rapid detection of carbapenemase-producing Enterobacteriaceae. Emerg Infect Dis. 2012;18(9):1503–7.
- Pasteran F, Tijet N, Melano RG, Corso A. Simplified protocol for Carba NP Test for enhanced detection of Carbapenemase Producers directly from bacterial cultures. J Clin Microbiol. 2015;53(12):3908–11.
- Dallenne C, Da Costa A, Decré D, Favier C, Arlet G. Development of a set of multiplex PCR assays for the detection of genes encoding important β-lactamases in Enterobacteriaceae. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2010;65(3):490–5.
- Trung NT, Hien TT, Huyen TT, Quyen DT, Binh MT, Hoan PQ, et al. Simple multiplex PCR assays to detect common pathogens and associated genes encoding for acquired extended spectrum betalactamases (ESBL) or carbapenemases from surgical site specimens in Vietnam. Ann Clin Microbiol Antimicrob. 2015;14:23.
- Olbjørn C, Cvancarova Småstuen M, Thiis-Evensen E, Nakstad B, Vatn MH, Jahnsen J, et al. Fecal microbiota profiles in treatment-naïve pediatric inflammatory bowel disease - associations with disease phenotype, treatment, and outcome. Clin Exp Gastroenterol. 2019;12:37–49.
- Morgan XC, Tickle TL, Sokol H, Gevers D, Devaney KL, Ward DV, et al. Dysfunction of the intestinal microbiome in inflammatory bowel disease and treatment. Genome Biol. 2012;13(9):R79.
- Mukhopadhya I, Hansen R, El-Omar EM, Hold GL. IBD-what role do Proteobacteria play? Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2012;9(4):219–30.
- Piewngam P, Quiñones M, Thirakittiwatthana W, Yungyuen T, Otto M, Kiratisin P. Composition of the intestinal microbiota in extended-spectrum β-lactamase-producing Enterobacteriaceae carriers and non-carriers in Thailand. Int J Antimicrob Agents. 2019;53(4):435–41.
- Beigverdi R, Jabalameli L, Jabalameli F, Emaneini M. Prevalence of extended-spectrum β-lactamase-producing Klebsiella pneumoniae: first

systematic review and meta-analysis from Iran. J Global Antimicrob Resist. 2019;18:12–21.

- Aghamohammad S, Nikbin VS, Badmasti F, Shahcheraghi F. High heterogeneity of fecal carriage extended-spectrum beta-lactamase-producing E. coli isolated from iranian community and clinical settings. BMC Infect Dis. 2022;22(1):318.
- 26. Skuja V, Derovs A, Pekarska K, Rudzite D, Lavrinovica E, Piekuse L, et al. Gut colonization with extended-spectrum β -lactamase-producing Enterobacteriaceae may increase disease activity in biologic-naive outpatients with ulcerative colitis: an interim analysis. Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2018;30(1):92–100.
- Leung W, Malhi G, Willey BM, McGeer AJ, Borgundvaag B, Thanabalan R, et al. Prevalence and predictors of MRSA, ESBL, and VRE colonization in the ambulatory IBD population. J Crohns Colitis. 2012;6(7):743–9.
- Roberts LW, Hoi LT, Khokhar FA, Hoa NT, Giang TV, Bui C, et al. Genomic characterisation of multidrug-resistant < em > Escherichia coli, Klebsiella pneumoniae, and < em > Acinetobacter baumannii in two intensive care units in Hanoi, Viet Nam: a prospective observational cohort study. The Lancet Microbe. 2022;3(11):e857–e66.
- Ibrahim DR, Dodd CER, Stekel DJ, Meshioye RT, Diggle M, Lister M et al. Multidrug-resistant ESBL-Producing E. coli in Clinical samples from the UK. Antibiot (Basel). 2023;12(1).
- Gruszecka J, Filip R. Are hospitalized patients with inflammatory bowel disease at increased risk of invasive bacterial infections? Results from POLIBD 3-year cohort study. Gut Pathog. 2021;13(1):12.
- Joseph L, Merciecca T, Forestier C, Balestrino D, Miquel S. From Klebsiella pneumoniae colonization to dissemination: an overview of studies implementing murine models. Microorganisms. 2021;9(6):1282.
- 32. Thänert R, Thänert A, Ou J, Bajinting A, Burnham C-AD, Engelstad HJ, et al. Antibiotic-driven intestinal dysbiosis in pediatric short bowel syndrome is associated with persistently altered microbiome functions and gut-derived bloodstream infections. Gut Microbes. 2021;13(1):1940792.
- Tamma PD, Aitken SL, Bonomo RA, Mathers AJ, van Duin D, Clancy C. Infectious diseases society of america antimicrobial resistant treatment guidance: gram-negative bacterial infections. Practice. 2020;6(8).
- 34. Doi Y. Treatment Options for Carbapenem-resistant Gram-negative bacterial infections. Clin Infect Dis. 2019;69(Supplement7):565–575.
- Yuan W, Xu J, Guo L, Chen Y, Gu J, Zhang H, et al. Clinical risk factors and microbiological and intestinal characteristics of carbapenemase-producing < i > Enterobacteriaceae colonization and subsequent infection. Microbiol Spectr. 2022;10(6):e01906–21.

- Garrett WS, Gallini CA, Yatsunenko T, Michaud M, DuBois A, Delaney ML, et al. Enterobacteriaceae act in concert with the gut microbiota to induce spontaneous and maternally transmitted colitis. Cell Host Microbe. 2010;8(3):292–300.
- Chandra H, Sharma KK, Tuovinen OH, Sun X, Shukla P. Pathobionts: mechanisms of survival, expansion, and interaction with host with a focus on Clostridioides difficile. Gut Microbes. 2021;13(1):1979882.
- Paterson DL, Bonomo RA. Extended-spectrum beta-lactamases: a clinical update. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2005;18(4):657–86.
- Tolun V, Küçükbasmaci Ö, Törümküney-Akbulut D, Çatal Ç, Anğ-Küçüker M, Auğ Ö. Relationship between ciprofloxacin resistance and extended-spectrum β-lactamase production in Escherichia coli and Klebsiella pneumoniae strains. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2004;10(1):72–5.
- Lübbert C, Straube L, Stein C, Makarewicz O, Schubert S, Mössner J, et al. Colonization with extended-spectrum beta-lactamase-producing and carbapenemase-producing Enterobacteriaceae in international travelers returning to Germany. Int J Med Microbiol. 2015;305(1):148–56.
- Skuja V, Pekarska K, Straume Z, Rudzīte D, Lavrinoviča E, Piekuse L, et al. P783 ciprofloxacin resistance in ESBL producing enterobacteriaceae colonizing the gut in IBD patients. J Crohn's Colitis. 2017;11(suppl1):481–S.
- Paterson DL, Mulazimoglu L, Casellas JM, Ko WC, Goossens H, Von Gottberg A, et al. Epidemiology of ciprofloxacin resistance and its relationship to extended-spectrum beta-lactamase production in Klebsiella pneumoniae isolates causing bacteremia. Clin Infect Dis. 2000;30(3):473–8.
- Buchek G, Mende K, Telu K, Kaiser S, Fraser J, Mitra I et al. Travel-associated multidrug-resistant organism acquisition and risk factors among US military personnel. J Travel Med. 2021;28(3).
- Dubinsky V, Reshef L, Bar N, Keizer D, Golan N, Rabinowitz K, et al. Predominantly antibiotic-resistant intestinal microbiome persists in patients with Pouchitis who respond to antibiotic therapy. Gastroenterology. 2020;158(3):610–24e13.
- Wang SL, Wang ZR, Yang CQ. Meta-analysis of broad-spectrum antibiotic therapy in patients with active inflammatory bowel disease. Exp Ther Med. 2012;4(6):1051–6.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.